



## Management's discussion and analysis

for the quarter ended June 30, 2019

4	OUR STRATEGY
4	SECOND QUARTER MARKET UPDATE
7	CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL RESULTS
14	OUTLOOK FOR 2019
17	LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES
19	FINANCIAL RESULTS BY SEGMENT
22	OUR OPERATIONS - SECOND QUARTER UPDATES
23	QUALIFIED PERSONS
24	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

This management's discussion and analysis (MD&A) includes information that will help you understand management's perspective of our unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements and notes for the quarter ended June 30, 2019 (interim financial statements). The information is based on what we knew as of July 24, 2019 and updates our first quarter and annual MD&A included in our 2018 annual report.

As you review this MD&A, we encourage you to read our interim financial statements as well as our audited consolidated financial statements and notes for the year ended December 31, 2018 and annual MD&A. You can find more information about Cameco, including our audited consolidated financial statements and our most recent annual information form, on our website at [cameco.com](http://cameco.com), on SEDAR at [sedar.com](http://sedar.com) or on EDGAR at [sec.gov](http://sec.gov). You should also read our annual information form before making an investment decision about our securities.

The financial information in this MD&A and in our financial statements and notes are prepared according to International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), unless otherwise indicated.

Unless we have specified otherwise, all dollar amounts are in Canadian dollars.

Throughout this document, the terms we, us, our and Cameco mean Cameco Corporation and its subsidiaries unless otherwise indicated.

### Caution about forward-looking information

Our MD&A includes statements and information about our expectations for the future. When we discuss our strategy, plans, future financial and operating performance, or other things that have not yet taken place, we are making statements considered to be *forward-looking information* or *forward-looking statements* under Canadian and United States (US) securities laws. We refer to them in this MD&A as *forward-looking information*.

Key things to understand about the forward-looking information in this MD&A:

- It typically includes words and phrases about the future, such as: anticipate, believe, estimate, expect, plan, will, intend, goal, target, forecast, project, strategy and outlook (see examples below).
- It represents our current views, and can change significantly.
- It is based on a number of *material assumptions*, including those we have listed on page 3, which may prove to be incorrect.
- Actual results and events may be significantly different from what we currently expect, due to the risks associated with our business. We list a number of these *material risks* below. We recommend you also review our annual information form, first quarter and annual MD&A, which includes a discussion of other *material risks* that could cause actual results to differ significantly from our current expectations.
- Forward-looking information is designed to help you understand management's current views of our near and longer term prospects, and it may not be appropriate for other purposes. We will not necessarily update this information unless we are required to by securities laws.

### Examples of forward-looking information in this MD&A

- the discussion under the heading *Our strategy*
- the retirement of our \$500 million debenture at maturity in September 2019
- our intention to reflect the award in our Tokyo Electric Power Company Holdings, Inc. (TEPCO) contract dispute in our 2019 third quarter financial results
- our expectations about 2019 and future global uranium supply, consumption, contracting volumes and demand, including the discussion under the heading *Second quarter market update*
- the discussion of our expectations relating to our Canada Revenue Agency (CRA) transfer pricing dispute, including that the Tax Court of Canada (Tax Court) ruling will be upheld on appeal and our estimate of the amount and timing of cash taxes, transfer pricing penalties and disbursements award
- the discussion under the heading *Outlook for 2019*, including expectations for 2019 gross profit, cash balances, revenue, and deliveries, our 2019 financial outlook, and our price sensitivity analysis for our uranium segment
- our expectations regarding 2019 cash flow, and that existing cash balances and operating cash flows will meet our 2019 capital requirements, and retirement of our \$500 million debenture maturing in September 2019
- production and life of mine operating cost estimates for the Cigar Lake and Inkai operations
- our expectation that our operating and investment activities for the remainder of 2019 will not be constrained by the financial-related covenants in our unsecured revolving credit facility
- our future plans and expectations for each of our uranium operating properties and fuel services operating sites, including production levels
- our expectations related to care and maintenance costs, including incurring between \$130 and \$160 million in 2019
- our planned annual dividend

### Material risks

- actual sales volumes or market prices for any of our products or services are lower than we expect for any reason, including changes in market prices, loss of market share to a competitor or trade restrictions
- we are adversely affected by changes in currency exchange rates, interest rates, royalty rates, or tax rates
- our production costs are higher than planned, or necessary supplies are not available, or not available on commercially reasonable terms
- our strategies may change, be unsuccessful or have unanticipated consequences
- our estimates and forecasts prove to be inaccurate, including production, purchases, deliveries, cash flow, revenue, costs, decommissioning, reclamation expenses, or our tax expense
- we are unable to enforce our legal rights under our existing agreements, permits or licences
- there are defects in, or challenges to, title to our properties
- our mineral reserve and resource estimates are not reliable, or there are challenging or unexpected geological, hydrological or mining conditions
- we are affected by environmental, safety and regulatory risks, including increased regulatory burdens or delays
- government laws, regulations, policies, or decisions that adversely affect us, including tax and trade laws
- our Cigar Lake development, mining or production plans are delayed or do not succeed for any reason
- any difficulties in milling of Cigar Lake ore at McClean Lake mill or resuming production at Cigar Lake after the extended shutdown scheduled for the third quarter
- water quality concerns and environmental concerns result in a potential deferral of production and additional capital and operating expenses required for the Cigar Lake operation

- the necessary permits or approvals from government authorities are not obtained or maintained
- our uranium suppliers fail to fulfil delivery commitments or our uranium purchasers fail to fulfil purchase commitments
- we are affected by political risks
- we are affected by terrorism, sabotage, blockades, civil unrest, social or political activism, accident or a deterioration in political support for, or demand for, nuclear energy
- a major accident at a nuclear power plant
- we are impacted by changes in the regulation or public perception of the safety of nuclear power plants, which adversely affect the construction of new plants, the relicensing of existing plants and the demand for uranium
- litigation or arbitration that has an adverse outcome, including lack of success in our dispute with CRA
- we are unsuccessful in our dispute with CRA and this results in significantly higher cash taxes, interest charges and penalties that could have a material adverse effect on us
- JV Inukai's development, mining or production plans are delayed or do not succeed for any reason
- our expectations relating to care and maintenance costs prove to be inaccurate
- we are unable to utilize letters of credit to the extent anticipated in our dispute with CRA
- we are affected by natural phenomena, including inclement weather, fire, flood and earthquakes
- operations are disrupted due to problems with facilities, the unavailability of reagents, equipment, operating parts and supplies critical to production, equipment failure, lack of tailings capacity, labour shortages, labour relations issues, strikes or lockouts (including at Orano's McClean Lake mill), underground floods, cave-ins, ground movements, tailings dam failures, transportation disruptions or accidents, or other development and operating risks

#### Material assumptions

- our expectations regarding sales and purchase volumes and prices for uranium and fuel services, trade restrictions and that the counterparties to our sales and purchase agreements will honour their commitments
- our expectations regarding the demand for and supply of uranium
- our expectations regarding spot prices and realized prices for uranium, and other factors discussed under the heading *Price sensitivity analysis: uranium segment*
- that the construction of new nuclear power plants and the relicensing of existing nuclear power plants will not be more adversely affected than expected by changes in regulation or in the public perception of the safety of nuclear power plants
- our ability to continue to supply our products and services in the expected quantities and at the expected times
- our expected production levels for uranium and conversion services
- our cost expectations, including production costs, purchase costs, operating costs, capital costs, and the success of our cost reduction strategies
- our expectations regarding tax rates and payments, royalty rates, currency exchange rates and interest rates
- our expectations about the outcome of our dispute with CRA, including that the Tax Court ruling will be upheld on appeal
- we are able to utilize letters of credit to the extent anticipated in our dispute with CRA
- our decommissioning and reclamation expenses, including the assumptions upon which they are based, are reliable
- our mineral reserve and resource estimates, and the assumptions upon which they are based, are reliable
- our understanding of the geological, hydrological and other conditions at our uranium properties
- our Cigar Lake development, mining and production plans succeed, including the resumption of production after the end of the extended shutdown scheduled for the third quarter
- the McClean Lake mill is able to process Cigar Lake ore as expected
- JV Inukai's development, mining and production plans succeed
- that care and maintenance costs will be as expected
- our and our contractors' ability to comply with current and future environmental, safety and other regulatory requirements, and to obtain and maintain required regulatory approvals
- operations are not significantly disrupted as a result of political instability, nationalization, terrorism, sabotage, blockades, civil unrest, breakdown, natural disasters, governmental or political actions, litigation or arbitration proceedings, the unavailability of reagents, equipment, operating parts and supplies critical to production, labour shortages, labour relations issues, strikes or lockouts (including at Orano's McClean Lake mill), underground floods, cave-ins, ground movements, tailings dam failure, lack of tailings capacity, transportation disruptions or accidents, unanticipated consequences of our cost reduction strategies or other development or operating risks

## Our strategy

We are a pure-play nuclear fuel supplier, focused on taking advantage of the long-term growth we see coming in our industry, while maintaining the ability to respond to market conditions as they evolve. Our strategy is to focus on our tier-one assets and profitably produce at a pace aligned with market signals in order to preserve the value of those assets and increase long-term shareholder value, and to do that with an emphasis on safety, people and the environment.

Due to the weak market conditions since 2011, we have undertaken a number of deliberate and disciplined actions: we have focused on preserving the value of our lowest cost assets, on maintaining a strong balance sheet, on protecting and extending the value of our contract portfolio and on efficiently managing the company in a low price environment.

We evaluate our strategy in the context of our market environment and continue to adjust our actions in accordance with the following marketing framework:

- First, we will not produce from our tier-one assets to sell into an oversupplied spot market. We will not produce from these assets unless we can commit our tier-one pounds under long-term contracts that provide an acceptable rate of return for our owners.
- Second, we do not intend to build up an inventory of excess uranium. Excess inventory serves to contribute to the sense that uranium is abundant and creates an overhang on the market, and it ties up working capital on our balance sheet.
- Third, in addition to our committed sales, we will capture demand in the market where we think we can obtain value. We will take advantage of opportunities the market provides, where it makes sense from an economic, logistical and strategic point of view. Those opportunities may come in the form of spot, mid-term or long-term demand, and will be additive to our current committed sales.
- Fourth, once we capture demand, we will decide how to best source material to satisfy that demand. Depending on the timing and volume of our production, purchase commitments, and our inventory volumes, this means we will be active buyers in the market in order to meet our demand obligations.
- And finally, in general, if we choose to source material to meet demand by purchasing it, we expect the price of that material will be more than offset by the leverage to market prices in our sales portfolio over a rolling 12-month period.

In addition to this framework, our contracting decisions always factor in who the customer is, our desire for regional diversification, the product form, and logistical factors.

We believe this approach provides us with the opportunity to meet rising demand with increased production from our best margin assets, helps to mitigate risk, and will allow us to create long-term value for our shareholders. Our focus continues to be on maximizing cash flow, while maintaining our investment-grade rating so we can self-manage risk.

You can read more about our strategy in our 2018 annual MD&A.

## Second quarter market update

Market access and trade policy issues were at the top of the list of short-term factors affecting the market in the second quarter, making the availability of supply where it is needed much less certain. However, the most notable of these market access and trade policy issues has been resolved. On July 12, 2019, the President of the United States announced his finding under section 232 of the Trade Expansion Act that imports of foreign uranium do not constitute a national security threat, and new restrictions are not required on imports at this time. The President also announced the establishment of a United States Nuclear Fuel Working Group to further analyze the state of US fuel production and report back within 90 days with findings and recommendations to enhance domestic capabilities if deemed necessary. This initiative may broaden the range of options that could be considered to support the US uranium industry. In addition, as announced in June 2019, President Trump and Prime Minister Trudeau have committed to develop a joint action plan between the US and Canada to collaborate on critical mineral issues. Uranium was named as one of the 35 mineral commodities deemed critical.

There is also the continuing role of financial players as they try to build a physical position in uranium, and the varying degrees of market conviction and discipline they exhibit. And over time, as the financial players meet investment targets, we believe some of the material currently sequestered in these funds will make its way back into the market. Combined with the significant idle tier-one production and expansion capability, as well as the idle tier-two production and expansion capabilities, capacity that can come back to the market relatively quickly, new supply poses a significant risk to the uranium market recovery. In the current market environment, we believe even the promise of new supply could create a headwind and put downward pressure on uranium prices.

In addition, although there are nine reactors running in Japan, there is the ongoing uncertainty about the timing of additional reactor restarts, and whether the Nuclear Regulatory Authority's deadline for completion of anti-terrorism provisions will cause some of the reactors currently running to temporarily halt generation following planned maintenance.

In the long term, uranium demand is backed by steady reactor growth with more than 50 reactors under construction, and a growing recognition of the role nuclear power must play in ensuring safe, reliable and affordable electricity from zero-carbon energy sources.

A recent report by the International Energy Agency indicated that a steep decline in nuclear power would threaten energy security and climate goals. It further suggested that electricity market design must value the environmental and energy security attributes of nuclear power and other clean energy sources.

Recently, in Australia, where there are currently no nuclear reactors, the chair of Industry Super Australia, which represents a number of union backed industry superannuation funds, indicated that it has capital and is prepared to play a role in solving the country's energy issues. He further indicated that all technologies, including nuclear, must be considered as contenders in the country's energy mix.

Today, there continue to be a number of short-term factors driving sentiment in our industry. These factors are creating uncertainty for market participants as they try to digest the changing long-term fundamentals. These fundamentals point to a growing demand story and a market where the uranium price needs to transition from one that reflects surplus disposal in the spot market to one that will incent existing tier-one production to restart and ramp up to full capacity.

#### Caution about forward-looking information relating to the nuclear industry

This discussion of our expectations for the nuclear industry, including its growth profile, uranium supply, demand and prices and reactor growth is forward-looking information that is based upon the assumptions and subject to the material risks discussed under the heading *Caution about forward-looking information* beginning on page 2.

### Industry prices at quarter end

	JUN 30 2019	MAR 31 2019	DEC 31 2018	SEP 30 2018	JUN 30 2018	MAR 31 2018
<b>Uranium (\$US/lb U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>)<sup>1</sup></b>						
Average spot market price	24.60	25.33	27.75	27.50	22.65	21.05
Average long-term price	31.50	32.00	32.00	31.75	29.00	29.00
<b>Fuel services (\$US/kgU as UF<sub>6</sub>)<sup>1</sup></b>						
<i>Average spot market price</i>						
North America	18.25	14.75	13.50	13.08	9.03	6.68
Europe	18.00	14.75	13.88	13.50	9.38	6.93
<i>Average long-term price</i>						
North America	16.38	15.50	16.00	15.75	14.25	12.25
Europe	16.38	15.50	16.25	16.00	14.25	12.25

Note: the industry does not publish UO<sub>2</sub> prices.

<sup>1</sup> Average of prices reported by TradeTech and UxC LLC (UxC)

On the spot market, where purchases call for delivery within one year, the volume reported by UxC for the second quarter of 2019 was approximately 11 million pounds, compared to 20 million pounds in the second quarter of 2018. The total volume in the spot market year-to-date is 31 million pounds, which is lower than the same period last year and remains largely discretionary due to currently high inventory levels. At the end of the quarter, the average reported spot price was \$24.60 (US) per pound, down \$0.73 (US) from the previous quarter.

Long-term contracts usually call for deliveries to begin more than two years after the contract is finalized, and use a number of pricing formulas, including fixed prices escalated over the term of the contract, and market referenced prices (spot and long-term indicators) quoted near the time of delivery. The volume of long-term contracting reported by UxC for the first six months of 2019 was about 40 million pounds compared to about 16 million pounds reported over the same period in 2018. While significantly higher than the same period last year, volumes continue to be less than the quantities consumed and inventory levels remain high. The average reported long-term price at the end of the quarter was \$31.50 (US) per pound, down \$0.50 (US) from last quarter.

Both spot and long-term UF<sub>6</sub> conversion prices increased in the North American and European markets.

#### **Shares and stock options outstanding**

At July 23, 2019, we had:

- 395,797,732 common shares and one Class B share outstanding
- 8,764,718 stock options outstanding, with exercise prices ranging from \$11.32 to \$26.81

#### **Dividend**

Our board of directors have planned an annual dividend of \$0.08 per common share. The decision to declare an annual dividend by our board will be based on our cash flow, financial position, strategy and other relevant factors including appropriate alignment with the cyclical nature of our earnings.

#### **Also of note:**

**TEPCO Arbitration:** As announced on July 13, 2019, a tribunal of international arbitrators (Tribunal) ruled in favour of Cameco Inc. in its contract dispute with TEPCO. The Tribunal rejected TEPCO's assertion that it had the right to terminate its uranium supply agreement alleging force majeure, and awarded damages to Cameco Inc. of \$40.3 million (US). Damages were based on the Tribunal's interpretation of losses under this supply agreement. In addition, Cameco Inc. is entitled to costs, expenses and pre-award interest that we calculate to be approximately \$5 million (US). We expect to record these amounts in our third quarter financial results.

The supply agreement does not allow for an appeal of the Tribunal's decision and is subject to a confidentiality order which limits the information that we are able to disclose.

Our 2019 financial outlook and other disclosures relating to our contract portfolio are presented on a basis that do not include the TEPCO supply agreement or this ruling.

**Series D debentures:** The \$500 million debenture will be retired at maturity in September 2019.

## Financial results

This section of our MD&A discusses our performance, financial condition and outlook for the future.

As of January 1, 2018, due to restructuring and a change in our ownership interest, we began accounting for JV Inkai on an equity basis, with no restatement of prior periods.

### Consolidated financial results

CONSOLIDATED HIGHLIGHTS (\$ MILLIONS EXCEPT WHERE INDICATED)	THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30			SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30		
	2019	2018	CHANGE	2019	2018	CHANGE
Revenue	388	333	17%	685	773	(11)%
Gross profit	42	26	62%	59	94	(37)%
Net losses attributable to equity holders	(23)	(76)	70%	(41)	(22)	(86)%
\$ per common share (basic)	(0.06)	(0.19)	68%	(0.10)	(0.05)	(100)%
\$ per common share (diluted)	(0.06)	(0.19)	68%	(0.10)	(0.05)	(100)%
Adjusted net losses (non-IFRS, see page 8)	(18)	(28)	36%	(51)	(6)	>(100)%
\$ per common share (adjusted and diluted)	(0.04)	(0.07)	43%	(0.13)	(0.01)	>(100)%
Cash provided by (used in) operations (after working capital changes)	(59)	57	>(100)%	21	332	(94)%

### NET EARNINGS

The following table shows what contributed to the change in net earnings and adjusted net earnings (non-IFRS measure, see page 8) in the second quarter and the first six months of 2019, compared to the same periods in 2018.

(\$ MILLIONS)	THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30		SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30	
	IFRS	ADJUSTED	IFRS	ADJUSTED
<b>Net losses – 2018</b>	(76)	(28)	(22)	(6)
Change in gross profit by segment (We calculate gross profit by deducting from revenue the cost of products and services sold, and depreciation and amortization (D&A))				
<b>Uranium</b>				
Higher (lower) sales volume	5	5	(4)	(4)
Lower realized prices (\$US)	(16)	(16)	(98)	(98)
Foreign exchange impact on realized prices	12	12	25	25
Lower costs	2	2	-	-
Change – uranium	3	3	(77)	(77)
<b>Fuel services</b>				
Higher sales volume	9	9	11	11
Lower realized prices (\$Cdn)	(23)	(23)	(19)	(19)
Lower costs	16	16	18	18
Change – fuel services	2	2	10	10
<b>Other changes</b>				
Lower administration expenditures	1	1	-	-
Lower exploration expenditures	1	1	5	5
Change in reclamation provisions	20	-	19	-
Higher earnings from equity-accounted investee	9	9	21	21
Change in gains or losses on derivatives	41	4	88	6
Change in foreign exchange gains or losses	(19)	(19)	(34)	(34)
Gain on restructuring of JV Inkai in 2018	-	-	(49)	-
Gain on customer contract restructuring in 2018	-	-	(6)	(6)
Change in income tax recovery or expense	(14)	-	(21)	5
Other	9	9	25	25
<b>Net losses – 2019</b>	(23)	(18)	(41)	(51)

See *Financial results by segment* beginning on page 19 for more detailed discussion.

## ADJUSTED NET EARNINGS (NON-IFRS MEASURE)

Adjusted net earnings is a measure that does not have a standardized meaning or a consistent basis of calculation under IFRS (non-IFRS measure). We use this measure as a meaningful way to compare our financial performance from period to period. We believe that, in addition to conventional measures prepared in accordance with IFRS, certain investors use this information to evaluate our performance. Adjusted net earnings is our net earnings attributable to equity holders, adjusted to reflect the underlying financial performance for the reporting period. The adjusted earnings measure reflects the matching of the net benefits of our hedging program with the inflows of foreign currencies in the applicable reporting period, and has also been adjusted for impairment charges, reclamation provisions for our Rabbit Lake and US operations, which had been impaired, the gain on restructuring of JV Inkai, and income taxes on adjustments.

Adjusted net earnings is non-standard supplemental information and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for financial information prepared according to accounting standards. Other companies may calculate this measure differently, so you may not be able to make a direct comparison to similar measures presented by other companies.

The following table reconciles adjusted net earnings with net earnings for the second quarter and first six months of 2019 and compares it to the same periods in 2018.

(\$ MILLIONS)	THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30		SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30	
	2019	2018	2019	2018
<b>Net losses attributable to equity holders</b>	<b>(23)</b>	(76)	<b>(41)</b>	(22)
<b>Adjustments</b>				
Adjustments on derivatives	(17)	20	(40)	42
Reclamation provision adjustments	24	44	26	45
Gain on restructuring of JV Inkai	-	-	-	(49)
Income taxes on adjustments	(2)	(16)	4	(22)
<b>Adjusted net losses</b>	<b>(18)</b>	(28)	<b>(51)</b>	(6)

Every quarter we are required to update the reclamation provisions for all operations based on new cash flow estimates, discount and inflation rates. This normally results in an adjustment to an asset retirement obligation asset in addition to the provision balance. When the assets of an operation have been written off due to an impairment, as is the case with our Rabbit Lake and US ISR operations, the adjustment is recorded directly to the statement of earnings as "other operating expense (income)". See note 8 of our interim financial statements for more information. This amount has been excluded from our adjusted net earnings measure.

## Quarterly trends

HIGHLIGHTS (\$ MILLIONS EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)	2019				2018		2017	
	Q2	Q1	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1	Q4	Q3
Revenue	388	298	831	488	333	439	809	486
Net earnings (losses) attributable to equity holders	(23)	(18)	160	28	(76)	55	(62)	(124)
\$ per common share (basic)	(0.06)	(0.05)	0.40	0.07	(0.19)	0.14	(0.16)	(0.31)
\$ per common share (diluted)	(0.06)	(0.05)	0.40	0.07	(0.19)	0.14	(0.16)	(0.31)
Adjusted net earnings (losses) (non-IFRS, see page 8)	(18)	(33)	202	15	(28)	23	181	(50)
\$ per common share (adjusted and diluted)	(0.04)	(0.08)	0.51	0.04	(0.07)	0.06	0.46	(0.13)
Cash provided by (used in) operations (after working capital changes)	(59)	80	57	278	57	275	320	154



Key things to note:

- our financial results are strongly influenced by the performance of our uranium segment, which accounted for 76% of consolidated revenues in the second quarter of 2019
- the timing of customer requirements, which tend to vary from quarter to quarter, drives revenue in the uranium and fuel services segments, meaning quarterly results are not necessarily a good indication of annual results due to seasonal variability
- net earnings do not trend directly with revenue due to unusual items and transactions that occur from time to time. We use adjusted net earnings, a non-IFRS measure, as a more meaningful way to compare our results from period to period (see page 8 for more information).
- cash from operations tends to fluctuate as a result of the timing of deliveries and product purchases in our uranium and fuel services segments

The following table compares the net earnings and adjusted net earnings for the second quarter to the previous seven quarters.

HIGHLIGHTS (\$ MILLIONS EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)	2019				2018		2017	
	Q2	Q1	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1	Q4	Q3
<b>Net earnings (losses) attributable to equity holders</b>	<b>(23)</b>	(18)	160	28	<b>(76)</b>	55	(62)	(124)
<b>Adjustments</b>								
Adjustments on derivatives	(17)	(23)	47	(24)	20	22	(2)	(40)
Impairment charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	247	111
Reclamation provision adjustments	24	2	10	5	44	1	15	(9)
Gain on restructuring of JV Inkai	-	-	-	-	-	(49)	-	-
Income taxes on adjustments	(2)	6	(15)	6	(16)	(6)	(17)	12
<b>Adjusted net earnings (losses) (non-IFRS, see page 8)</b>	<b>(18)</b>	(33)	202	15	<b>(28)</b>	23	181	(50)

## Corporate expenses

### ADMINISTRATION

(\$ MILLIONS)	THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30			SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30		
	2019	2018	CHANGE	2019	2018	CHANGE
Direct administration	29	26	12%	59	55	7%
Stock-based compensation	1	5	(80)%	7	11	(36)%
<b>Total administration</b>	<b>30</b>	31	(3)%	<b>66</b>	66	-

Direct administration costs were \$3 million higher for the second quarter of 2019 compared to the same period last year, and \$4 million higher for the first six months due mainly to increased legal fees related to the TEPCO arbitration.

Stock-based compensation in the first six months was lower due primarily to the decrease in our share price during the period compared 2018.

### EXPLORATION

In the second quarter, uranium exploration expenses were \$3 million, a decrease of \$1 million compared to the second quarter of 2018. Exploration expenses for the first six months of the year decreased by \$5 million compared to 2018, to \$8 million, due to a planned reduction in expenditures.

### INCOME TAXES

We recorded an income tax expense of \$2 million in the second quarter of 2019, compared to a recovery of \$12 million in the second quarter of 2018.

On an adjusted basis, we recorded an income tax expense of \$4 million this quarter the same as in the second quarter of 2018. In 2019, we recorded earnings of \$10 million in Canada compared to earnings of \$3 million in 2018, while we recorded losses of \$24 million in foreign jurisdictions compared to losses of \$27 million last year.

In the first six months of 2019, we recorded an income tax expense of \$2 million compared to a recovery of \$19 million in 2018.

On an adjusted basis, we recorded an income tax recovery of \$2 million for the first six months compared to an expense of \$3 million in 2018. In 2019, we recorded earnings of \$6 million in Canada compared to losses of \$38 million in 2018, while we recorded losses of \$59 million in foreign jurisdictions compared to earnings of \$36 million last year.

(\$ MILLIONS)	THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30		SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30	
	2019	2018	2019	2018
<b>Pre-tax adjusted earnings<sup>1</sup></b>				
Canada	10	3	6	(38)
Foreign	(24)	(27)	(59)	36
<b>Total pre-tax adjusted earnings</b>	<b>(14)</b>	<b>(24)</b>	<b>(53)</b>	<b>(2)</b>
Adjusted income taxes <sup>1</sup>				
Canada	3	2	1	(4)
Foreign	1	2	(3)	7
<b>Adjusted income tax expense (recovery)</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>(2)</b>	<b>3</b>

<sup>1</sup> Pre-tax adjusted earnings and adjusted income taxes are non-IFRS measures. Our IFRS-based measures have been adjusted by the amounts reflected in the table in adjusted net earnings (*non-IFRS measure* on page 8).

## TRANSFER PRICING DISPUTE

### Tax Court of Canada decision

On September 26, 2018, the Tax Court of Canada (Tax Court) ruled unequivocally in our favour in our case with the Canada Revenue Agency (CRA) for the 2003, 2005 and 2006 tax years.

The Tax Court ruled that our marketing and trading structure involving foreign subsidiaries and the related transfer pricing methodology used for certain intercompany uranium purchase and sale agreements were in full compliance with Canadian laws for the three tax years in question. While the decision applies only to the three tax years under dispute, we believe there is nothing in the decision that would warrant a materially different outcome for subsequent tax years.

The Tax Court has referred the matter back to the Minister of National Revenue in order to issue new reassessments for the 2003, 2005 and 2006 tax years in accordance with the Tax Court's decision. The total tax amount reassessed for those tax years was \$11 million, and we remitted 50%. Therefore, we expect to receive refunds totaling about \$5.5 million plus interest. The timing for the revised reassessments along with refunds plus interest may be delayed pending the outcome of the appeal. For further information regarding the appeal, see below.

On April 30, 2019, we announced the decision of the Tax Court in our application to recover costs in the amount of about \$38 million (\$20.5 million for legal fees and \$17.9 million in disbursements), which were incurred over the course of this case. The Tax Court awarded \$10.25 million in legal fees incurred, plus an amount for disbursements, which is yet to be determined. The amount of the award for disbursements will be determined by an officer of the Tax Court, which we expect will happen before the end of the year. We are optimistic we will recover all, or substantially all, of the \$17.9 million in disbursements. Timing of any payments under the cost award is uncertain. The CRA has asked for the cost award to be overturned should it be successful in the appeals process noted below.

### Appeals process

On October 25, 2018, CRA filed a notice of appeal with the Federal Court of Appeal. In its notice of appeal, CRA dropped the sham argument, but is appealing the Tax Court's interpretation and application of the transfer pricing provisions in section 247 of the Income Tax Act. CRA filed its written submissions with the Federal Court of Appeal on May 31, 2019. In its written submission, CRA repeated its trial argument that the transactions should be recharacterized because arm's length persons would not have entered into the various agreements that underpin the marketing and trading structure. CRA's alternate argument is that the terms (focused on pricing) of these agreements would have been significantly different if these agreements had been made between arm's length persons. CRA argues that either approach should result in the disputed reassessments being upheld in their totality.

We anticipate that it will take about two years from the start of the appeal process to receive a decision from the Federal Court of Appeal. We believe there is nothing in the Tax Court's decision that would warrant a materially different outcome on appeal.

The decision of the Federal Court of Appeal can be appealed to the Supreme Court of Canada, but only if the Supreme Court of Canada agrees to hear the appeal. The request to appeal a decision of the Federal Court of Appeal to the Supreme Court of Canada must be made within 60 days of issuance of a Federal Court of Appeal decision.

In the event that either party appeals the Federal Court of Appeal decision, it would likely take about two years from the date the Federal Court of Appeal decision is issued to receive a decision from the Supreme Court of Canada should that court hear the appeal.

We expect to incur additional costs during the appeal process, and in connection with potential reassessments of subsequent years. There could also be costs incurred if a negotiated resolution with CRA is sought or achieved.

### Potential exposure based on CRA appeal

Since 2008, CRA has disputed our marketing and trading structure and the related transfer pricing methodology we used for certain intercompany uranium sale and purchase agreements. To date, we have received notices of reassessment for our 2003 through 2012 tax years. While the Tax Court has ruled unequivocally in our favour for the 2003, 2005 and 2006 tax years, and we believe there is nothing in the decision that would warrant a materially different outcome on appeal, or for subsequent tax years, we will continue to report on the potential exposure as we expect it will continue to tie up our financial capacity until the dispute is finally resolved for all years.

For the years 2003 to 2012, CRA has shifted Cameco Europe Limited's income (as recalculated by CRA) back to Canada and applied statutory tax rates, interest and instalment penalties, and, from 2007 to 2011, transfer pricing penalties. We understand CRA is currently considering whether to impose a transfer pricing penalty for 2012. Taxes of approximately \$321 million for the 2003 to 2018 years have already been paid to date in a jurisdiction outside Canada. If CRA is successful on appeal, we will consider our options under bilateral international tax treaties to limit double taxation of this income. There is a risk that we will not be successful in eliminating all potential double taxation. The income adjustments claimed by CRA in its reassessments are represented by the amounts described below.

The Canadian income tax rules include provisions that require larger companies like us to remit or otherwise secure 50% of the cash tax plus related interest and penalties at the time of reassessment. To date, under these provisions, after applying elective deductions, we have paid or secured the amounts shown in the table below. Of these amounts, we expect to receive refunds totaling approximately \$5.5 million plus interest based on the ruling of the Tax Court. The timing of the refund may be delayed pending the outcome of the appeal.

YEAR PAID (\$ MILLIONS)	CASH TAXES	INTEREST AND INSTALMENT PENALTIES	TRANSFER PRICING PENALTIES	TOTAL	CASH REMITTANCE	SECURED BY LC
Prior to 2014	1	22	36	59	59	-
2014	106	47	-	153	153	-
2015	202	71	79	352	20	332
2016	51	38	31	120	32	88
2017	-	1	39	40	39	1
2018	17	40	-	57	-	57
2019	-	2	-	2	-	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>377</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>783</b>	<b>303</b>	<b>480</b>

While we expect the Tax Court's decision to be upheld on appeal and believe the decision should apply in principle to subsequent years, until such time as all appeals are exhausted, and a resolution is reached for all tax years in question, we will not be in a position to determine the definitive outcome of this dispute. We expect any further actions regarding the tax years 2007 through 2012 will be suspended until the three years covered under the decision are finally resolved, with the exception of the transfer pricing penalty noted above. The tax years 2013 and beyond have not yet been reassessed, and it is uncertain what approach CRA will take on audit. Despite the fact that we believe there is no basis to do so, and it is not our view of the likely outcome, CRA may continue to reassess us using the methodology it used to reassess the 2003 through 2012 tax years. In that scenario, and including the \$4.9 billion already reassessed, we would expect to receive notices of reassessment for a total of approximately \$8.7 billion of additional income taxable in Canada for the years 2003 through 2018, which would result in a related tax expense of approximately \$2.6 billion. As well, CRA may continue to apply transfer pricing penalties to taxation years subsequent to 2011. In that case, we estimate that cash taxes and transfer pricing penalties claimed by CRA for these years would be between \$1.95 billion and \$2.15 billion. In addition, CRA may seek to apply interest and instalment penalties that would be material to us. While in dispute, we would be required to remit or otherwise provide security for 50% of the cash taxes and transfer pricing penalties (between \$970 million and \$1.07 billion), plus related interest and instalment penalties assessed, which would be material to us. We have already paid or secured \$562 million in cash taxes and transfer pricing penalties and \$221 million in interest and instalment penalties.

Under the Canadian federal and provincial tax rules, the amount required to be paid or secured each year will depend on the amount of income reassessed in that year and the availability of elective deductions and tax loss carryovers. CRA has to date disallowed the use of any loss carry-backs for any transfer pricing adjustment, starting with the 2008 tax year. This does not impact the anticipated income tax expense for a particular year, but does impact the timing of any required security or payment. As noted above, for amounts reassessed after 2014, as an alternative to remitting cash, we used letters of credit to satisfy our obligations related to the reassessed income tax and related interest amounts. We believe we will be able to continue to provide security in the form of letters of credit to satisfy these requirements. The amounts summarized in the table below reflect actual amounts paid or secured from 2003 through 2018 along with estimated post-2018 amounts if CRA were to continue to reassess based on the scenario outlined above, and include the expected timing adjustment for the inability to use any loss carry-backs starting with the 2008 tax year. The amounts have not been adjusted to reflect the refund of approximately \$5.5 million plus interest we expect to receive based on the ruling of the Tax Court. The timing of such refund may be delayed pending the outcome of the appeal. We plan to update this table annually to include the estimated impact of reassessments expected for completed years subsequent to 2018.

\$ MILLIONS	2003-2018	Post-2018	TOTAL
50% of cash taxes and transfer pricing penalties paid, secured or owing in the period			
Cash payments	226	185 - 235	410 - 460
Secured by letters of credit	336	225 - 275	560 - 610
<b>Total paid<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>562</b>	<b>410 - 510</b>	<b>970 - 1070</b>

<sup>1</sup> These amounts do not include interest and instalment penalties, which totaled approximately \$221 million to June 30, 2019.

In light of our view of the likely outcome of the appeal, and the dispute for subsequent years, based on the Tax Court's decision as described above, we expect to recover the amounts remitted, including the \$783 million already paid or otherwise secured to date.

#### **Caution about forward-looking information relating to our CRA tax dispute**

This discussion of our expectations relating to our tax dispute with CRA and future tax reassessments by CRA is forward-looking information that is based upon the assumptions and subject to the material risks discussed under the heading Caution about forward-looking information beginning on page 2 and also on the more specific assumptions and risks listed below. Actual outcomes may vary significantly.

#### *Assumptions*

- CRA will reassess us for the years 2013 through 2018 using a similar methodology as for the years 2003 through 2012, and the reassessments will be issued on the basis we expect
- we will be able to apply elective deductions and utilize letters of credit to the extent anticipated
- CRA will seek to impose transfer pricing penalties (in a manner consistent with penalties charged in the years 2007 through 2011) in addition to interest charges and instalment penalties
- we will be substantially successful in our dispute with CRA, including any appeals of the Tax Court's decision or any decisions regarding other tax years, and we will not incur any significant tax liability resulting from the outcome of the dispute or other costs, potentially including costs associated with a negotiated resolution with CRA
- the successful outcome and timing of the determination of our disbursements award

#### *Material risks that could cause actual results to differ materially*

- CRA reassesses us for years 2013 through 2018 using a different methodology than for years 2003 through 2012, or we are unable to utilize elective deductions or letters of credit to the extent anticipated, resulting in the required cash payments or security provided to CRA pending the outcome of the dispute being higher than expected
- the time lag for the reassessments for each year is different than we currently expect
- we are unsuccessful in an appeal of the Tax Court's decision or any decisions of the Tax Court for subsequent years, or appeals of those decisions, and the outcome of our dispute with CRA, potentially including costs associated with a negotiated resolution with CRA, results in significant costs, cash taxes, interest charges and penalties which could have a material adverse effect on our liquidity, financial position, results of operations and cash flows
- cash tax payable increases due to unanticipated adjustments by CRA not related to transfer pricing
- we are unable to effectively eliminate any double taxation
- an unfavourable determination of the officer of the Tax Court or delays in making a determination of the amount of our disbursements award

## **FOREIGN EXCHANGE**

The exchange rate between the Canadian dollar and US dollar affects the financial results of our uranium and fuel services segments. See *Revenue, adjusted net earnings, and cash flow sensitivity analysis* on page 16 for more information on how a change in the exchange rate will impact our revenue, cash flow, and adjusted net earnings (ANE) (see *Non-IFRS measures* on page 8).

We sell the majority of our uranium and fuel services products under long-term sales contracts, which are routinely denominated in US dollars, while our production costs are largely denominated in Canadian dollars. To provide cash flow predictability, we hedge a portion of our net US/Cdn exposure (e.g. total US dollar sales less US dollar expenditures and product purchases) to manage shorter term exchange rate volatility. Our results are therefore affected by the movements in the exchange rate on our hedge portfolio, and on the unhedged portion of our net exposure.

### **Impact of hedging on IFRS earnings**

We do not use hedge accounting under IFRS and, therefore, we are required to report gains and losses on economic hedging activity, both for contracts that close in the period and those that remain outstanding at the end of the period. For the contracts that remain outstanding, we must treat them as though they were settled at the end of the reporting period (mark-to-market).

However, we do not believe the gains and losses that we are required to report under IFRS appropriately reflect the intent of our hedging activities, so we make adjustments in calculating our ANE to better reflect the benefits of our hedging program in the applicable reporting period.

### **Impact of hedging on ANE**

We designate contracts for use in particular periods, based on our expected net exposure in that period. Hedge contracts are layered in over time based on this expected net exposure. The result is that our current hedge portfolio is made up of a number of contracts which are currently designated to net exposures we expect in 2019 and future years, and we will recognize the gains and losses in ANE in those periods.

For the purposes of ANE, gains and losses on derivatives are reported based on the difference between the effective hedge rate of the contracts designated for use in the particular period and the exchange rate at the time of settlement. This results in an adjustment to current period IFRS earnings to effectively remove reported gains and losses on derivatives that arise from contracts put in place for use in future periods. The effective hedge rate will lag the market in periods of rapid currency movement. See *Non-IFRS measures* on page 8.

For more information, see our 2018 annual MD&A.

At June 30, 2019:

- The value of the US dollar relative to the Canadian dollar was \$1.00 (US) for \$1.31 (Cdn), down from \$1.00 (US) for \$1.33 (Cdn) at March 31, 2019. The exchange rate averaged \$1.00 (US) for \$1.34 (Cdn) over the quarter.
- The mark-to-market position on all foreign exchange contracts was a \$13 million loss compared to a \$30 million loss at March 31, 2019.

For information on the impact of foreign exchange on our intercompany balances, see note 17 to the financial statements.

## Outlook for 2019

Our strategy is to focus on our tier-one assets and profitably produce at a pace aligned with market signals, in order to preserve the value of those assets and increase long-term shareholder value, and to do that with a focus on safety, people and the environment.

Our outlook for 2019 reflects the expenditures necessary to help us achieve our strategy. We have made significant progress in reducing our administration, exploration and operating costs, as well as our capital expenditures. We have also made a number of strategic decisions that come with significant costs in the near term, costs we factored into our decisions. As a result, and based on what we know today, from a gross profit point of view, 2019 is expected to be a weaker year for us. The changing pricing terms under our existing contract portfolio and the proportion of purchased material compared to produced material making up our uranium supply are expected to adversely impact our revenue and cost of sales in 2019 relative to 2018. In addition, our outlook for the average unit cost of sales in 2019 continues to be impacted by care and maintenance costs, which, although lower than in 2018, are expected to be between \$130 million and \$160 million. Despite the impact on our expected results, we continue to believe these are the right decisions to create long-term shareholder value.

In contrast, from a cash perspective, we expect to continue to maintain a significant cash balance, even after we retire our \$500 million debenture maturing in September 2019. We expect to continue to generate cash from operations in this difficult time, however, it will not be as robust as in 2018 given the weaker outlook provided, and without the release of working capital associated with the inventory drawdown we had in 2018.

We report our results and outlook based on a calendar-year view, at a point in time. However, under our marketing framework, we plan on a rolling 12-month basis, which means our sales, inventory and purchases are all variables. Therefore, in accordance with market opportunities and as the year unfolds, we expect our actual sales, purchases and inventory will vary from what we are reporting in the 2019 Financial Outlook table. Also, in 2019, there is a greater risk of production variability due to the expiry of Orano's collective agreement with unionized employees at the McClean Lake mill on May 31, 2019.

In addition, there are a number of moving pieces both internally and externally, that could have an impact on the market and on our results, and it is important to keep them in mind. Some of the remaining items are:

- the impact, if any, on the uranium market and uranium prices from the United States Nuclear Fuel Working Group report
- whether CRA issues a transfer pricing penalty for the 2012 tax year and/or continues to reassess us for years subsequent to 2012

Our outlook has changed for consolidated, uranium, and fuel services revenue as a result of additional sales commitments in both the uranium and fuel services segments. The additional uranium sales commitments result in an increase in the outlook for required purchases. The additional fuel services sales commitments contributed to the decrease in the outlook for the average unit cost of sales as the additional volumes are from the lower cost UF<sub>6</sub> product.

Uranium deliveries are now expected to be between 30 million and 32 million pounds (previously 28 million to 30 million pounds) increasing committed and required purchases to between 21 million and 23 million pounds (previously 19 million to 21 million pounds), changing the anticipated average purchase price to \$34.30 per pound (previously \$34.80 per pound) and increasing the outlook for uranium revenue to between \$1,320 million and \$1,410 million (previously \$1,290 million to \$1,380 million).

Fuel services deliveries are now expected to be between 13 million and 14 million kgU (previously 11 million to 12 million kgU), decreasing the expected average unit cost of sales to between \$19.40 and \$20.40 per kgU (previously \$20.20 to \$21.20 per kgU), and increasing the outlook for fuel services revenue to between \$330 million and \$360 million (previously \$280 million to \$310 million).

As a result of the items mentioned above, the consolidated revenue is now expected to be between \$1,730 million and \$1,880 million (previously between \$1,650 million and \$1,800 million) and the projected tax expense on an adjusted earnings basis has increased to between \$30 million and \$40 million (previously \$0 million to \$10 million).

In our uranium and fuel services segments, our customers choose when in the year to receive deliveries, so our quarterly delivery patterns, sales/delivery volumes and revenue can vary significantly. We are on track for our uranium sales/delivery targets in 2019, with deliveries weighted to the second half of the year.

We do not provide an outlook for the items in the table that are marked with a dash.

## 2019 FINANCIAL OUTLOOK

	CONSOLIDATED	URANIUM	FUEL SERVICES
<b>EXPECTED CONTRIBUTION TO GROSS PROFIT</b>	100%	62%	38%
<b>Production (owned and operated properties)</b>	-	9.0 million lbs	12 to 13 million kgU
<b>Purchases</b>	-	21 to 23 million lbs	-
<b>Sales/delivery volume</b>	-	30 to 32 million lbs	13 to 14 million kgU
<b>Revenue</b>	\$1,730-1,880 million	\$1,320-1,410 million	\$330-360 million
<b>Average realized price</b>	-	\$44.20/lb	-
<b>Average unit cost of sales (including D&amp;A)</b>	-	\$39.50-41.50/lb	\$19.40-20.40/kgU
<b>Direct administration costs</b>	\$110-120 million	-	-
<b>Exploration costs</b>	-	\$13 million	-
<b>Expected loss on derivatives - ANE basis</b>	\$5-15 million	-	-
<b>Tax expense - ANE basis</b>	\$30-40 million	-	-
<b>Capital expenditures</b>	\$95 million	-	-

The following assumptions were used to prepare the outlook in the table above:

- Purchases – are based on the volumes we have already taken delivery of this year, those we currently have commitments to acquire under contract in 2019, including our JV Inkai purchases and the purchase of NUKEM's excess inventory, and it includes the additional volumes we are required to purchase in order to meet the sales/delivery commitments we have under contract in 2019.
- Our 2019 outlook for sales/delivery volume and revenue does not include sales between our uranium and fuel services segments.
- Sales/delivery volume is based on the volumes already delivered this year and the remaining commitments we have to deliver under contract in 2019.
- Uranium revenue and average realized price are based on a uranium spot price of \$24.70 (US) per pound (the UxC spot price as of June 24, 2019), a long-term price indicator of \$32.00 (US) per pound (the UxC long-term indicator on June 24, 2019) and an exchange rate of \$1.00 (US) for \$1.30 (Cdn).

- Uranium average unit cost of sales (including D&A) is based on the expected unit cost of sales for produced material and expected purchases noted in the outlook. If we make discretionary purchases in 2019, then we expect the overall unit cost of sales may be affected.
- Direct administration costs do not include stock-based compensation expenses. See page 9 for more information.
- Our outlook for the tax expense is based on adjusted net earnings and the other assumptions listed in the table. The outlook does not include our share of taxes on JV Inkai profits as the income from JV Inkai is net of taxes. If other assumptions change then the expected expense may be affected.

Our 2019 financial outlook is presented on the basis of equity accounting for our minority ownership interest in JV Inkai. Under equity accounting, our share of the profits earned by JV Inkai on the sale of its production will be included in “income from equity-accounted investees” on our consolidated statement of earnings. Our share of production will be purchased at a discount to the spot price and included at this value in inventory. In addition, JV Inkai capital is not included in our outlook for capital expenditures.

For more information on how changes in the exchange rate or uranium prices can impact our outlook see *Revenue, adjusted net earnings, and cash flow sensitivity analysis* below, and *Foreign exchange* on page 13.

### REVENUE, ADJUSTED NET EARNINGS, AND CASH FLOW SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

FOR 2019 (\$ MILLIONS)	CHANGE	IMPACT ON:		
		REVENUE	ANE	CASH FLOW
Uranium spot and term price <sup>1</sup>	\$5(US)/lb increase	35	4	(14)
	\$5(US)/lb decrease	(31)	(2)	18
Value of Canadian dollar vs US dollar	One cent decrease in CAD	8	3	3
	One cent increase in CAD	(8)	(3)	(3)

<sup>1</sup> Assuming change in both UxC spot price (\$24.70 (US) per pound on June 24, 2019) and the UxC long-term price indicator (\$32.00 (US) per pound on June 24, 2019)

### PRICE SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS: URANIUM SEGMENT

The following table is not a forecast of prices we expect to receive. The prices we actually realize will be different from the prices shown in the table. It is designed to indicate how the portfolio of long-term contracts we had in place on June 30, 2019 would respond to different spot prices. In other words, we would realize these prices only if the contract portfolio remained the same as it was on June 30, 2019 and none of the assumptions we list below change.

We intend to update this table each quarter in our MD&A to reflect changes to our contract portfolio. As a result, we expect the table to change from quarter to quarter.

#### Expected realized uranium price sensitivity under various spot price assumptions

(rounded to the nearest \$1.00)

SPOT PRICES (\$US/lb U <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub> )	\$20	\$40	\$60	\$80	\$100	\$120	\$140
2020	30	40	53	63	72	79	86
2021	27	40	53	61	66	70	74
2022	28	40	54	62	67	70	73
2023	29	41	54	63	68	72	75

The table illustrates the mix of long-term contracts in our portfolio, and is consistent with our marketing strategy. It has been updated to reflect contracts entered into up to June 30, 2019.

Our portfolio includes a mix of fixed-price and market-related contracts, which we target at a 40:60 ratio. Those that are fixed at higher prices or have high floor prices will yield prices that are higher than current market prices.



Our portfolio is affected by more than just the spot price. We made the following assumptions (which are not forecasts) to create the table:

Sales

- sales volumes on average of 22 million pounds per year, with commitment levels in 2019 and 2020 higher than in 2021 through 2023.
- excludes sales between our segments

Deliveries

- deliveries include best estimates of requirements contracts and contracts with volume flex provisions

Annual inflation

- is 2% in the US

Prices

- the average long-term price indicator is the same as the average spot price for the entire year (a simplified approach for this purpose only). Since 1996, the long-term price indicator has averaged 20% higher than the spot price. This differential has varied significantly. Assuming the long-term price is at a premium to spot, the prices in the table will be higher.

## Liquidity and capital resources

Our financial objective is to ensure we have the cash and debt capacity to fund our operating activities, investments and other financial obligations. As of June 30, 2019, we had cash and short-term investments of \$1.1 billion, while our total debt amounted to \$1.5 billion.

We have large, creditworthy customers that continue to need uranium even during weak economic conditions, and we expect the uranium contract portfolio we have built to continue to provide a solid revenue stream. From 2019 through 2023, we have commitments to deliver an average of 22 million pounds per year, with commitment levels in 2019 and 2020 higher than in 2021 through 2023.

In the currently weak uranium price environment, our focus is on preserving the value of our tier-one assets and reducing our operating, capital and general and administrative spending. We have a number of alternatives to fund future capital requirements, including using our operating cash flow, drawing on our existing credit facilities, entering new credit facilities, and raising additional capital through debt or equity financings. We are always considering our financing options so we can take advantage of favourable market conditions when they arise. In addition, due to the deliberate cost reduction measures implemented over the past five years, the reduction in our dividend, and the drawdown of inventory in 2018 as a result of the suspension of production at our McArthur River/Key Lake operation, we have significant cash balances. We will continue to generate cash from operations however, it will not be as robust as in 2018 given the weaker expected results, and without the release of working capital associated with the inventory drawdown we had in 2018. We expect our cash balances and operating cash flows to meet our 2019 capital requirements and the retirement of our \$500 million debenture maturing in September 2019.

We received a favourable ruling in our case with CRA for the 2003, 2005 and 2006 tax years. We expect the ruling to be upheld on appeal, and we believe the ruling should apply in principle to subsequent tax years. However, until such time as all appeals are exhausted, and a resolution is reached for all tax years in question, in accordance with Canadian income tax rules we may be required to remit or otherwise secure 50% of any cash taxes plus related interest and penalties CRA may continue to reassess, even though we believe there is no basis for them to do so. See page 10 for more information. In the above scenario, the table on page 12 provides the amount and timing of the cash taxes and transfer pricing penalties paid or secured to date. In addition, it provides an estimate of the amounts we would potentially have to pay or secure upfront if CRA continues to reassess us using the same methodology it reassessed the 2003 to 2012 tax years. The timing of these amounts is uncertain.

### CASH FROM/USED IN OPERATIONS

Cash provided by operations was \$116 million lower this quarter than in the second quarter of 2018 mainly due to an increase in working capital requirements, which required \$119 million more in 2019 than in 2018. Not including working capital requirements, our operating cash flows this quarter were higher by \$3 million.

Cash provided by operations was \$311 million lower in the first six months of 2019 than for the same period in 2018 due largely to an increase in working capital requirements. This was a result of a temporary increase in inventory compared to a decrease in 2018 as well as changes in other working capital items. Working capital required \$223 million more in 2019. Not including working capital requirements, our operating cash flows in the first six months were lower by \$88 million.

## FINANCING ACTIVITIES

We use debt to provide additional liquidity. We have sufficient borrowing capacity with unsecured lines of credit totalling about \$3.0 billion at June 30, 2019, unchanged from March 31, 2019. At June 30, 2019, we had approximately \$1.5 billion outstanding in financial assurances, down from \$1.6 billion at December 31, 2018. At June 30, 2019, we had no short-term debt outstanding on our \$1.25 billion unsecured revolving credit facility, unchanged from December 31, 2018. This facility matures November 1, 2022.

### Long-term contractual obligations

Since December 31, 2018, there have been no material changes to our long-term contractual obligations. Please see our 2018 annual MD&A for more information.

### Debt covenants

We are bound by certain covenants in our unsecured revolving credit facility. The financially related covenants place restrictions on total debt, including guarantees. As at June 30, 2019, we met these financial covenants and do not expect our operating and investment activities for the remainder of 2019 to be constrained by them.

## OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

We had two kinds of off-balance sheet arrangements at June 30, 2019:

- purchase commitments
- financial assurances

### Purchase commitments

We make purchases under long-term contracts where it is beneficial for us to do so and in order to support our long-term contract portfolio. The following table is based on our purchase commitments in our uranium and fuel services segments, as well as commitments previously contracted by NUKEM, at June 30, 2019<sup>2</sup> but does not include purchases of our share of Inkai production. These commitments include a mix of fixed-price and market-related contracts. Actual payments will be different as a result of changes to our purchase commitments and, in the case of contracts with market-related pricing, the market prices in effect at the time of delivery. We will update this table as required in our MD&A to reflect material changes to our purchase commitments and changes in the prices used to estimate our commitments under market-related contracts.

JUNE 30 (\$ MILLIONS)	2019	2020 AND 2021	2022 AND 2023	2024 AND BEYOND	TOTAL
Purchase commitments <sup>1,2</sup>	71	207	133	329	740

<sup>1</sup> Denominated in US dollars and Japanese yen, as of June 30, 2019 converted from US dollars to Canadian dollars at the rate of \$1.30 and from Japanese yen to Canadian dollars at the rate of \$0.01.

<sup>2</sup> These amounts have been adjusted for any additional purchase commitments that we have entered into since June 30, 2019, but does not include deliveries taken under contract since June 30, 2019.

Our purchase commitments of about \$740 million include the following:

- approximately 19 million pounds of U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> equivalent from 2019 to 2028
- approximately 0.2 million kgU as UF<sub>6</sub> in conversion services in 2019
- about 0.1 million Separative Work Units (SWU) of enrichment services to meet existing forward sales commitments under agreements with a non-Western supplier

The suppliers do not have the right to terminate agreements other than pursuant to customary events of default provisions.

### Financial assurances

At June 30, 2019, our financial assurances totaled \$1.5 billion, down from \$1.6 billion at December 31, 2018.

## BALANCE SHEET

(\$ MILLIONS)	JUN 30, 2019	DEC 31, 2018	CHANGE
Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments	1,113	1,103	1%
Total debt	1,496	1,496	-
Inventory	700	468	50%

Total cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments at June 30, 2019 were \$1.1 billion, or 1% higher than at December 31, 2018. Net debt at June 30, 2019 was \$383 million.

Under the restructuring agreement for JV Inkai, the partners have agreed that JV Inkai will distribute excess cash, after capital expenditures, as priority repayment of our loan. We have an outstanding loan for Inkai's work on block 3 prior to the restructuring. In the second quarter of 2019 we received distributions of \$0.5 million (US), which were made as interest payments. As of June 30, 2019, the outstanding principal balance of the loan was \$42 million (US).

Total product inventories increased to \$700 million. Inventories temporarily increased as sales were lower than production and purchases in the first six months of the year. We expect our distribution of uranium deliveries in 2019 to be weighted to the second half of the year. The average cost for uranium has increased to \$33.91 per pound compared to \$33.05 per pound at December 31, 2018. As of June 30, 2019, we held an inventory of 13.6 million pounds of U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> equivalent in our uranium segment (excluding broken ore).

## Financial results by segment

### Uranium

HIGHLIGHTS	THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30			SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30			
	2019	2018	CHANGE	2019	2018	CHANGE	
Production volume (million lbs)	2.5	2.9	(14)%	5.0	5.3	(6)%	
Sales volume (million lbs)	6.6	5.3	25%	11.5	11.9	(3)%	
Average spot price (\$US/lb)	24.62	22.13	11%	26.01	21.78	19%	
Average long-term price (\$US/lb)	31.50	29.00	9%	31.75	29.25	9%	
Average realized price (\$US/lb)	33.07	34.93	(5)%	32.64	39.38	(17)%	
	(\$Cdn/lb)	44.31	44.91	(1)%	43.67	50.04	(13)%
Average unit cost of sales (including D&A) (\$Cdn/lb)	40.78	41.12	(1)%	41.90	41.84	-	
Revenue (\$ millions)	293	237	24%	500	596	(16)%	
Gross profit (\$ millions)	23	20	15%	20	98	(80)%	
Gross profit (%)	8	8	-	4	16	(75)%	

### SECOND QUARTER

Production volumes this quarter were 14% lower compared to the second quarter of 2018. See *Uranium 2019 Q2 updates* starting on page 22 for more information.

Uranium revenues this quarter were up 24% compared to 2018 due to an increase in sales volumes of 25% slightly offset by a decrease of 1% in the Canadian dollar average realized price. While the average spot price for uranium increased by 11% compared to the same period in 2018, our average realized price was 1% lower due to less protection from floor prices in the market-related contacts delivered into partially offset by the weakening of the Canadian dollar compared to the same period in the prior year.

Total cost of sales (including D&A) increased by 24% (\$270 million compared to \$217 million in 2018) as a result of a 25% increase in sales volume slightly offset by a unit cost of sales that was 1% lower than the same period last year.

The net effect was a \$3 million increase in gross profit for the quarter.

Equity earnings from investee, JV Inkai, were \$12 million in the second quarter compared to \$4 million in same period last year.

## FIRST SIX MONTHS

Production volumes for the first six months of the year were 6% lower than in the previous year. See *Uranium 2019 Q2 updates* starting on page 22 for more information.

Uranium revenues decreased 16% compared to the first six months of 2018 due to a 3% decrease in sales volumes and a decrease of 13% in the Canadian dollar average realized price. While the average spot price for uranium increased by 19% compared to the same period in 2018, the average realized price for the first six months was lower compared to the same period in 2018 due to a lower proportion of sales from higher priced fixed price contracts compared to 2018 and less protection from floor prices in the market-related contacts delivered into during the period.

Total cost of sales (including D&A) decreased by 4% (\$480 million compared to \$499 million in 2018) mainly due to a 3% decrease in sales volume for the first six months.

The net effect was a \$78 million decrease in gross profit for the first six months.

Equity earnings from investee, JV Inkai, were \$25 million for the first six months compared to \$5 million for the same period last year.

The table below shows the costs of produced and purchased uranium incurred in the reporting periods (which are non-IFRS measures, see the paragraphs below the table). These costs do not include care and maintenance costs, selling costs such as royalties, transportation and commissions, nor do they reflect the impact of opening inventories on our reported cost of sales.

(\$CDN/LB)	THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30			SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30		
	2019	2018	CHANGE	2019	2018	CHANGE
<b>Produced</b>						
Cash cost	12.76	10.78	18%	13.58	14.14	(4)%
Non-cash cost	14.23	15.96	(11)%	15.05	16.55	(9)%
Total production cost <sup>1</sup>	26.99	26.74	1%	28.63	30.69	(7)%
Quantity produced (million lbs) <sup>1</sup>	2.5	2.9	(14)%	5.0	5.3	(6)%
<b>Purchased</b>						
Cash cost <sup>1</sup>	34.96	29.64	18%	36.13	32.73	10%
Quantity purchased (million lbs) <sup>1</sup>	5.6	2.2	155%	12.7	3.9	226%
<b>Totals</b>						
Produced and purchased costs	32.50	27.99	16%	34.01	31.55	8%
Quantities produced and purchased (million lbs)	8.1	5.1	59%	17.7	9.2	92%

<sup>1</sup> Our share of Inkai production was 0.8 million pounds for Q2, 2019 (1.5 million pounds for the first six months of 2019). Due to equity accounting, our share of production will be shown as a purchase at the time of delivery. JV Inkai purchases will fluctuate during the quarters and timing of purchases will not match production. In the second quarter we purchased 0.9 million pounds at a purchase price per pound of \$31.06 (\$23.15 (US)) (1.4 million pounds in the first six months of 2019 at \$32.97 (\$24.55 (US))).

The average cash cost of production was 18% higher for the quarter compared to 2018 due primarily to lower production. While McArthur River and Key Lake are shut down, our cash cost of production is expected to be reflective of the estimated life-of-mine operating cost, between \$15 and \$16 per pound, of mining and milling our share of Cigar Lake mineral reserves. For the first six months, the average cash cost of production was 4% lower than in 2018.

The benefit of the estimated life-of-mine operating cost for Inkai's production of between \$8 and \$9 per pound, is expected to be reflected in the line item on our statement of earnings called "share of earnings from equity-accounted investee".

Although purchased pounds are transacted in US dollars, we account for the purchases in Canadian dollars. In the second quarter, the average cash cost of purchased material was \$34.96 (Cdn) per pound, or \$26.12 (US) per pound in US dollar terms, compared to \$23.07 (US) per pound in the second quarter of 2018. For the first six months, the average cash cost of purchased material was \$36.13 (Cdn), or \$27.05 (US) per pound, compared to \$25.69 (US) per pound in the same period in 2018. As a result, the average cash cost of purchased material in Canadian dollar terms increased by 18% this quarter and by 10% for the six months compared to the same periods last year.

Cash cost per pound, non-cash cost per pound and total cost per pound for produced and purchased uranium presented in the above table are non-IFRS measures. These measures do not have a standardized meaning or a consistent basis of calculation under IFRS. We use these measures in our assessment of the performance of our uranium business. We believe that, in addition to conventional measures prepared in accordance with IFRS, certain investors use this information to evaluate our performance and ability to generate cash flow.

These measures are non-standard supplemental information and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for measures of performance prepared according to accounting standards. These measures are not necessarily indicative of operating profit or cash flow from operations as determined under IFRS. Other companies may calculate these measures differently, so you may not be able to make a direct comparison to similar measures presented by other companies.

To facilitate a better understanding of these measures, the following table presents a reconciliation of these measures to our unit cost of sales for the second quarter and the first six months of 2019 and 2018.

### Cash and total cost per pound reconciliation

(\$ MILLIONS)	THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30		SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30	
	2019	2018	2019	2018
<b>Cost of product sold</b>	<b>225.1</b>	156.4	<b>406.3</b>	388.1
<b>Add / (subtract)</b>				
Royalties	(6.4)	(9.5)	(9.5)	(21.8)
Care and maintenance costs	(27.3)	(34.6)	(55.5)	(76.5)
Other selling costs	(1.5)	(1.2)	(4.6)	(5.5)
Change in inventories	37.8	(14.6)	190.1	(81.7)
<b>Cash operating costs (a)</b>	<b>227.7</b>	96.5	<b>526.8</b>	202.6
<b>Add / (subtract)</b>				
Depreciation and amortization	44.6	60.8	73.5	110.5
Care and maintenance costs	(12.0)	(12.0)	(23.1)	(19.0)
Change in inventories	3.0	(2.5)	24.9	(3.8)
<b>Total operating costs (b)</b>	<b>263.3</b>	142.8	<b>602.1</b>	290.3
Uranium produced & purchased (million lbs) (c)	8.1	5.1	17.7	9.2
<b>Cash costs per pound (a ÷ c)</b>	<b>28.11</b>	18.92	<b>29.76</b>	22.02
<b>Total costs per pound (b ÷ c)</b>	<b>32.50</b>	27.99	<b>34.01</b>	31.55

### Fuel services

(includes results for UF<sub>6</sub>, UO<sub>2</sub> and fuel fabrication)

HIGHLIGHTS	THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30			SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30		
	2019	2018	CHANGE	2019	2018	CHANGE
Production volume (million kgU)	3.9	2.3	70%	7.7	6.2	24%
Sales volume (million kgU)	3.2	2.1	52%	6.2	4.5	38%
Average realized price (\$Cdn/kgU)	25.37	32.63	(22)%	26.29	29.40	(11)%
Average unit cost of sales (including D&A) (\$Cdn/kgU)	19.23	24.30	(21)%	19.87	22.83	(13)%
Revenue (\$ millions)	80	68	18%	163	133	23%
Gross profit (\$ millions)	19	17	12%	40	30	33%
Gross profit (%)	24	25	(4)%	25	23	9%

### SECOND QUARTER

Total revenue for the second quarter of 2019 increased to \$80 million from \$68 million for the same period last year. This was primarily due to a 52% increase in sales volumes partially offset by a 22% decrease in average realized price compared to 2018. Average realized price decreased mainly due to the mix of product sold.

The total cost of products and services sold (including D&A) increased 20% (\$61 million compared to \$51 million in 2018) due to the 52% increase in sales volume, partially offset by a 21% decrease in the average unit cost of sales due to lower costs for all products.

The net effect was a \$2 million increase in gross profit.

### FIRST SIX MONTHS

In the first six months of the year, total revenue increased by 23% due to a 38% increase in sales volumes partially offset by an 11% decrease in realized price. The decrease in realized price was the result of decreased prices on the sale of UF<sub>6</sub>.

The total cost of products and services sold (including D&A) increased 19% (\$123 million compared to \$103 million in 2018) due to the 38% increase in sales volume, partially offset by a 13% decrease in the average unit cost of sales due to lower costs for UF<sub>6</sub>.

The net effect was a \$10 million increase in gross profit.

## Our operations

### Uranium – production overview

Production in our uranium segment this quarter was 14% lower than the second quarter of 2018. See table below for more information. We continue to evaluate the optimal mix of production, inventory and purchases in order to retain the flexibility to deliver long-term value.

#### URANIUM PRODUCTION

OUR SHARE (MILLION LBS)	THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30			SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30			2019 PLAN
	2019	2018	CHANGE	2019	2018	CHANGE	
McArthur River/Key Lake	-	-	-	-	0.1	(100)%	-
Cigar Lake	2.5	2.9	(14)%	5.0	5.1	(2)%	9.0
US ISR	-	-	-	-	0.1	(100)%	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>(14)%</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>(6)%</b>	<b>9.0</b>

<sup>1</sup> We expect total production from Inkai to be 8.3 million pounds in 2019 on a 100% basis. Due to equity accounting, our share of production is shown as a purchase. Please see below for more information.

### Uranium 2019 Q2 updates

#### PRODUCTION UPDATE

##### McArthur River/Key Lake

There was no production in the second quarter as a result of the planned production suspension that began in February 2018 and continues for an indeterminate duration due to continued weakness in the uranium market.

Our share of the cash and non-cash costs to maintain both operations during the suspension is expected to range between \$7 million and \$9 million per month.

A new collective agreement with unionized employees at McArthur River and Key Lake has been reached. The new agreement expires on December 31, 2022.

##### Cigar Lake

Total production from Cigar Lake was 14% lower in the second quarter compared to the same period last year. Production remains on track to meet our forecast for the year.

The collective agreement between Orano and unionized employees at the McClean Lake mill expired on May 31, 2019. Work continues under the terms of the expired collective agreement while negotiations to reach a new agreement proceed. There is a risk to the production plan if Orano is unable to reach an agreement and there is a labour dispute.

## **Inkai**

Production on a 100% basis was 2 million pounds for the quarter. Production is tracking higher than the comparable period in 2018 due to an increase in planned production in 2019. Due to the transition to equity accounting in 2018, our share of production will be shown as a purchase at a discount to the spot price and included in inventory at this value at the time of delivery. Our share of the profits earned by JV Inkai on the sale of its production will be included in “share of earnings from equity-accounted investee” on our consolidated statement of earnings.

## **TIER-TWO CURTAILED OPERATIONS**

### **US ISR Operations**

As a result of our 2016 curtailment decision, commercial production has ceased. As long as production is suspended, we expect ongoing cash and non-cash care and maintenance costs to range between \$11 million (US) and \$13 million (US) annually.

### **Rabbit Lake**

Rabbit Lake continues in a safe state of care and maintenance. As a result, there was no production in the second quarter of 2019. While in standby, we continue to evaluate our options at Rabbit Lake in order to minimize care and maintenance costs. We expect ongoing care and maintenance costs to range between \$30 million and \$35 million annually.

## **Fuel services 2019 Q2 updates**

### **PORT HOPE CONVERSION SERVICES CAMECO FUEL MANUFACTURING INC. (CFM)**

#### **Production update**

Fuel services produced 3.9 million kgU in the second quarter, 70% higher than the same period last year due to the timing of scheduled production and the planned increase in production for 2019.

A new collective agreement with unionized employees at our Port Hope conversion facility has been reached. The new agreement is for three years and expires on July 1, 2022.

### **Qualified persons**

The technical and scientific information discussed in this document for our material properties (McArthur River/Key Lake, Inkai and Cigar Lake) was approved by the following individuals who are qualified persons for the purposes of NI 43-101:

#### **MCARTHUR RIVER/KEY LAKE**

- Greg Murdock, general manager, McArthur River/Key Lake, Cameco

#### **INKAI**

- Dr. Darryl Clark, consultant geologist

#### **CIGAR LAKE**

- Lloyd Rowson, general manager, Cigar Lake, Cameco

## **Additional information**

### **Critical accounting estimates**

Due to the nature of our business, we are required to make estimates that affect the amount of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, commitments and contingencies we report. We base our estimates on our experience, our best judgment, guidelines established by the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum and on assumptions we believe are reasonable.

### **Controls and procedures**

As of June 30, 2019, we carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our chief executive officer (CEO) and chief financial officer (CFO), of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures. There are inherent limitations to the effectiveness of any system of disclosure controls and procedures, including the possibility of human error and the circumvention or overriding of the controls and procedures. Accordingly, even effective disclosure controls and procedures can only provide reasonable assurance of achieving their control objectives.

Based upon that evaluation and as of June 30, 2019, the CEO and CFO concluded that:

- the disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file and submit under applicable securities laws is recorded, processed, summarized and reported as and when required
- such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2019 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.





# **Cameco Corporation**

## **2019 condensed consolidated interim financial statements**

**(unaudited)**

July 24, 2019

## Cameco Corporation

### Consolidated statements of earnings

(Unaudited) (\$Cdn thousands, except per share amounts)	Note	Three months ended		Six months ended	
		Jun 30/19	Jun 30/18	Jun 30/19	Jun 30/18
Revenue from products and services	10	\$ 387,769	\$ 333,290	\$ 685,302	\$ 772,683
Cost of products and services sold		285,912	237,375	525,664	547,155
Depreciation and amortization		59,754	69,853	100,335	131,179
<b>Cost of sales</b>	18	<b>345,666</b>	<b>307,228</b>	<b>625,999</b>	<b>678,334</b>
<b>Gross profit</b>		<b>42,103</b>	<b>26,062</b>	<b>59,303</b>	<b>94,349</b>
Administration		30,218	31,417	66,040	66,362
Exploration		3,442	4,078	7,866	12,545
Research and development		1,040	(2,228)	2,722	(1,039)
Other operating expense	8	23,792	44,019	25,563	44,939
Loss (gain) on disposal of assets		111	533	(199)	667
<b>Loss from operations</b>		<b>(16,500)</b>	<b>(51,757)</b>	<b>(42,689)</b>	<b>(29,125)</b>
Finance costs	11	(27,284)	(27,445)	(56,189)	(55,138)
Gain (loss) on derivatives	17	16,312	(24,893)	34,531	(52,678)
Finance income		6,515	4,993	15,112	9,009
Share of earnings from equity-accounted investee	6	12,341	3,408	24,532	4,489
Other income (expense)	12	(12,286)	7,560	(14,048)	82,765
<b>Loss before income taxes</b>		<b>(20,902)</b>	<b>(88,134)</b>	<b>(38,751)</b>	<b>(40,678)</b>
Income tax expense (recovery)	13	1,697	(11,632)	2,165	(18,966)
<b>Net loss</b>		<b>(22,599)</b>	<b>(76,502)</b>	<b>(40,916)</b>	<b>(21,712)</b>
<b>Net loss attributable to:</b>					
Equity holders		\$ (22,589)	\$ (76,481)	\$ (40,889)	\$ (21,674)
Non-controlling interest		(10)	(21)	(27)	(38)
<b>Net loss</b>		<b>\$ (22,599)</b>	<b>\$ (76,502)</b>	<b>\$ (40,916)</b>	<b>\$ (21,712)</b>
<b>Loss per common share attributable to equity holders:</b>					
Basic	14	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.19)	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.05)
Diluted	14	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.19)	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.05)

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated interim financial statements.

## Cameco Corporation

### Consolidated statements of comprehensive earnings

(Unaudited) (\$Cdn thousands)	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	Jun 30/19	Jun 30/18	Jun 30/19	Jun 30/18
<b>Net loss</b>	\$ (22,599)	\$ (76,502)	\$ (40,916)	\$ (21,712)
Other comprehensive loss, net of taxes	13			
Items that will not be reclassified to net earnings:				
Equity investments at FVOCI - net change in fair value	750	(87)	935	(5,214)
Equity investment at FVOCI - net change in fair value - equity-accounted investee	-	-	(309)	-
Items that are or may be reclassified to net earnings:				
Exchange differences on translation of foreign operations	(6,969)	(9,100)	(20,608)	5,620
Reclassification of foreign currency translation reserve to net earnings	12	-	-	(5,450)
Other comprehensive loss, net of taxes	(6,219)	(9,187)	(19,982)	(5,044)
<b>Total comprehensive loss</b>	\$ (28,818)	\$ (85,689)	\$ (60,898)	\$ (26,756)
<b>Other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to:</b>				
Equity holders	\$ (6,213)	\$ (9,191)	\$ (19,970)	\$ (5,061)
Non-controlling interest	(6)	4	(12)	17
<b>Other comprehensive loss</b>	\$ (6,219)	\$ (9,187)	\$ (19,982)	\$ (5,044)
<b>Total comprehensive loss attributable to:</b>				
Equity holders	\$ (28,802)	\$ (85,672)	\$ (60,859)	\$ (26,735)
Non-controlling interest	(16)	(17)	(39)	(21)
<b>Total comprehensive loss</b>	\$ (28,818)	\$ (85,689)	\$ (60,898)	\$ (26,756)

<sup>1</sup> Net of tax (Q2 2019 - \$(125); Q2 2018 - \$71; 2019 - \$(201); 2018 - \$742)

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated interim financial statements.

## Cameco Corporation

### Consolidated statements of financial position

(Unaudited) (\$Cdn thousands)	Note	As at	
		Jun 30/19	Dec 31/18
<b>Assets</b>			
Current assets			
Cash and cash equivalents		\$ 662,571	\$ 711,528
Short-term investments		450,616	391,025
Accounts receivable		195,716	402,350
Current tax assets		6,349	6,996
Inventories	4	700,326	467,795
Supplies and prepaid expenses		98,314	89,206
Current portion of long-term receivables, investments and other	5	18,398	13,826
<b>Total current assets</b>		<b>2,132,290</b>	<b>2,082,726</b>
Property, plant and equipment		3,919,103	3,881,926
Intangible assets		62,459	65,602
Long-term receivables, investments and other	5	693,450	751,868
Investment in equity-accounted investee	6	249,956	230,502
Deferred tax assets		1,009,743	1,006,012
<b>Total non-current assets</b>		<b>5,934,711</b>	<b>5,935,910</b>
<b>Total assets</b>		<b>\$ 8,067,001</b>	<b>\$ 8,018,636</b>
<b>Liabilities and shareholders' equity</b>			
Current liabilities			
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		194,541	224,754
Current tax liabilities		5,734	19,633
Current portion of long-term debt		499,900	499,599
Current portion of other liabilities	7	69,647	79,573
Current portion of provisions	8	53,599	52,316
<b>Total current liabilities</b>		<b>823,421</b>	<b>875,875</b>
Long-term debt		996,395	996,072
Other liabilities	7	150,552	142,061
Provisions	8	1,161,851	1,011,036
<b>Total non-current liabilities</b>		<b>2,308,798</b>	<b>2,149,169</b>
Shareholders' equity			
Share capital	9	1,862,749	1,862,652
Contributed surplus		236,922	234,982
Retained earnings		2,750,483	2,791,321
Other components of equity		84,357	104,327
<b>Total shareholders' equity attributable to equity holders</b>		<b>4,934,511</b>	<b>4,993,282</b>
Non-controlling interest		271	310
<b>Total shareholders' equity</b>		<b>4,934,782</b>	<b>4,993,592</b>
<b>Total liabilities and shareholders' equity</b>		<b>\$ 8,067,001</b>	<b>\$ 8,018,636</b>

Commitments and contingencies [notes 8, 13]

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated interim financial statements.

## Cameco Corporation

### Consolidated statements of changes in equity

(Unaudited) (\$Cdn thousands)	Attributable to equity holders					Total	Non- controlling interest	Total equity
	Share capital	Contributed surplus	Retained earnings	Foreign currency translation	Equity investments at FVOCI			
Balance at January 1, 2019	\$ 1,862,652	\$ 234,982	\$ 2,791,321	\$ 104,989	\$ (662)	\$ 4,993,282	\$ 310	\$ 4,993,592
Net loss	-	-	(40,889)	-	-	(40,889)	(27)	(40,916)
Other comprehensive income (loss) for the period	-	-	-	(20,596)	626	(19,970)	(12)	(19,982)
<b>Total comprehensive income (loss) for the period</b>	-	-	(40,889)	(20,596)	626	(60,859)	(39)	(60,898)
Share-based compensation	-	8,214	-	-	-	8,214	-	8,214
Stock options exercised	97	(16)	-	-	-	81	-	81
Restricted and performance share units released	-	(6,258)	-	-	-	(6,258)	-	(6,258)
Dividends	-	-	51	-	-	51	-	51
<b>Balance at June 30, 2019</b>	<b>\$ 1,862,749</b>	<b>\$ 236,922</b>	<b>\$ 2,750,483</b>	<b>\$ 84,393</b>	<b>\$ (36)</b>	<b>\$ 4,934,511</b>	<b>\$ 271</b>	<b>\$ 4,934,782</b>
Balance at January 1, 2018	\$ 1,862,652	\$ 224,812	\$ 2,650,417	\$ 112,341	\$ 9,066	\$ 4,859,288	\$ 371	\$ 4,859,659
Net loss	-	-	(21,674)	-	-	(21,674)	(38)	(21,712)
Other comprehensive income (loss) for the period	-	-	-	153	(5,214)	(5,061)	17	(5,044)
<b>Total comprehensive income (loss) for the period</b>	-	-	(21,674)	153	(5,214)	(26,735)	(21)	(26,756)
Share-based compensation	-	9,390	-	-	-	9,390	-	9,390
Restricted and performance share units released	-	(4,806)	-	-	-	(4,806)	-	(4,806)
Dividends	-	-	19	-	-	19	-	19
<b>Balance at June 30, 2018</b>	<b>\$ 1,862,652</b>	<b>\$ 229,396</b>	<b>\$ 2,628,762</b>	<b>\$ 112,494</b>	<b>\$ 3,852</b>	<b>\$ 4,837,156</b>	<b>\$ 350</b>	<b>\$ 4,837,506</b>

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated interim financial statements.

## Cameco Corporation

### Consolidated statements of cash flows

(Unaudited) (\$Cdn thousands)	Note	Three months ended		Six months ended	
		Jun 30/19	Jun 30/18	Jun 30/19	Jun 30/18
<b>Operating activities</b>					
Net loss		\$ (22,599)	\$ (76,502)	\$ (40,916)	\$ (21,712)
Adjustments for:					
Depreciation and amortization		59,754	69,853	100,335	131,179
Deferred charges		(33)	232	6,415	9,629
Unrealized loss (gain) on derivatives		(17,579)	27,312	(41,831)	62,265
Share-based compensation	16	2,749	3,078	8,214	9,390
Loss (gain) on disposal of assets		111	533	(199)	667
Finance costs	11	27,284	27,445	56,189	55,138
Finance income		(6,515)	(4,993)	(15,112)	(9,009)
Share of earnings in equity-accounted investee		(12,341)	(3,408)	(24,532)	(4,489)
Other operating expense	8	23,793	44,019	25,563	44,939
Other expense (income)	12	12,286	(7,351)	14,048	(74,873)
Income tax expense (recovery)	13	1,697	(11,632)	2,165	(18,966)
Interest received		5,732	3,682	14,111	7,409
Income taxes paid		(1,872)	(2,186)	(19,239)	(18,796)
Other operating items	15	(131,827)	(13,098)	(64,337)	159,362
<b>Net cash provided by (used in) operations</b>		<b>(59,360)</b>	<b>56,984</b>	<b>20,874</b>	<b>332,133</b>
<b>Investing activities</b>					
Additions to property, plant and equipment		(22,127)	(14,587)	(32,049)	(29,990)
Increase in short-term investments		(186,049)	(333,163)	(60,680)	(333,163)
Decrease in long-term receivables, investments and other		-	3,276	65,843	13,424
Principal lease payments		(730)	-	(1,435)	-
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment		18	141	347	434
<b>Net cash used in investing</b>		<b>(208,888)</b>	<b>(344,333)</b>	<b>(27,974)</b>	<b>(349,295)</b>
<b>Financing activities</b>					
Interest paid		(20,613)	(20,520)	(36,030)	(34,695)
Proceeds from issuance of shares, stock option plan		-	-	16	-
Dividends returned (paid)		-	-	51	(39,561)
<b>Net cash used in financing</b>		<b>(20,613)</b>	<b>(20,520)</b>	<b>(35,963)</b>	<b>(74,256)</b>
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents, during the period		(288,861)	(307,869)	(43,063)	(91,418)
Exchange rate changes on foreign currency cash balances		(3,860)	(519)	(5,894)	3,931
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		955,292	812,521	711,528	591,620
<b>Cash and cash equivalents, end of period</b>		<b>\$ 662,571</b>	<b>\$ 504,133</b>	<b>\$ 662,571</b>	<b>\$ 504,133</b>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents is comprised of:</b>					
Cash				314,850	70,099
Cash equivalents				347,721	434,034
<b>Cash and cash equivalents</b>				<b>\$ 662,571</b>	<b>\$ 504,133</b>

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated interim financial statements.

# Cameco Corporation

## Notes to condensed consolidated interim financial statements

(Unaudited)

(Cdn\$ thousands, except per share amounts and as noted)

### 1. Cameco Corporation

Cameco Corporation is incorporated under the Canada Business Corporations Act. The address of its registered office is 2121 11th Street West, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, S7M 1J3. The condensed consolidated interim financial statements as at and for the period ended June 30, 2019 comprise Cameco Corporation and its subsidiaries (collectively, the Company or Cameco) and the Company's interests in associates and joint arrangements.

Cameco is one of the world's largest providers of the uranium needed to generate clean, reliable baseload electricity around the globe. The Company currently has one mine operating in northern Saskatchewan, Cigar Lake, as well as a 40% interest in Joint Venture Inkai LLP (JV Inkai), a joint arrangement with Joint Stock Company National Atomic Company Kazatomprom (Kazatomprom), located in Kazakhstan. JV Inkai is accounted for on an equity basis (see note 6).

It also has two operations in Northern Saskatchewan which are in care and maintenance. Rabbit Lake was placed in care and maintenance in the second quarter of 2016 while operations at McArthur River/Key Lake were suspended indefinitely in the third quarter of 2018 (see note 18 for financial statement impact). Cameco's operations in the United States, Crow Butte and Smith Ranch-Highland, are also not currently producing as the decision was made in 2016 to curtail production and defer all wellfield development.

The Company is also a leading provider of nuclear fuel processing services, supplying much of the world's reactor fleet with the fuel to generate one of the cleanest sources of electricity available today. It operates the world's largest commercial refinery in Blind River, Ontario, controls about 25% of the world UF<sub>6</sub> primary conversion capacity in Port Hope, Ontario and is a leading manufacturer of fuel assemblies and reactor components for CANDU reactors at facilities in Port Hope and Cobourg, Ontario.

### 2. Significant accounting policies

#### A. Statement of compliance

These condensed consolidated interim financial statements have been prepared in accordance with IAS 34 *Interim Financial Reporting*. The condensed consolidated interim financial statements do not include all of the information required for full annual financial statements and should be read in conjunction with Cameco's annual consolidated financial statements as at and for the year ended December 31, 2018.

These condensed consolidated interim financial statements were authorized for issuance by the Company's board of directors on July 24, 2019.

#### B. Basis of presentation

These condensed consolidated interim financial statements are presented in Canadian dollars, which is the Company's functional currency. All financial information is presented in Canadian dollars, unless otherwise noted. Amounts presented in tabular format have been rounded to the nearest thousand except per share amounts and where otherwise noted.

The condensed consolidated interim financial statements have been prepared on the historical cost basis except for the following material items which are measured on an alternative basis at each reporting date:

Derivative financial instruments	Fair value through profit or loss (FVTPL)
Equity investments	Fair value through other comprehensive income (FVOCI)
Liabilities for cash-settled share-based payment arrangements	Fair value through profit or loss (FVTPL)
Net defined benefit liability	Fair value of plan assets less the present value of the defined benefit obligation

The preparation of the condensed consolidated interim financial statements in conformity with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) requires management to make judgments, estimates and assumptions that affect the application of accounting policies and the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses. Actual results may vary from these estimates.

In preparing these condensed consolidated interim financial statements, the significant judgments made by management in applying the Company's accounting policies and key sources of estimation uncertainty were the same as those that applied to the consolidated financial statements as at and for the year ended December 31, 2018.

Estimates and underlying assumptions are reviewed on an ongoing basis. Revisions to accounting estimates are recognized in the period in which the estimates are revised and in any future periods affected. The areas involving a higher degree of judgment or complexity, or areas where assumptions and estimates are significant to the consolidated financial statements are disclosed in note 5 of the December 31, 2018 consolidated financial statements.

### 3. Accounting standards

#### A. Changes in accounting policy

On January 1, 2019, Cameco adopted the new standard, IFRS 16, *Leases* (IFRS 16) and the interpretation, IFRIC 23, *Uncertainty over Income Tax Treatments* (IFRIC 23) as issued by the IASB.

##### i. Leases

IFRS 16 eliminates the dual model for lessees, which distinguishes between on-balance sheet finance leases and off-balance sheet operating leases. Instead, there is a single, on-balance sheet accounting model that is similar to current finance lease accounting. Cameco adopted IFRS 16 using the modified retrospective approach which does not require comparative information to be restated. Adoption of the standard did not have a material impact on the financial statements, however we were required to disclose our lease obligation as a separate line item in our other liabilities note (see note 7). While the impact of adoption was not material, we will include further disclosures in our 2019 annual financial statements, including a maturity analysis of the lease liability.

Adoption of IFRS 16 resulted in a change to Cameco's accounting policy as previously disclosed in our 2018 annual financial statements. Cameco recognizes a right-of-use asset and a lease liability at the lease commencement date. The right-of-use asset is initially measured at cost, and subsequently at cost less any accumulated depreciation and impairment losses. The lease liability is initially measured at the present value of the lease payments that are not paid at the commencement date, discounted using the interest rate implicit in the lease, or, if that rate cannot be readily determined, the Company's incremental borrowing rate. Generally, Cameco uses its incremental borrowing rate as the discount rate.

The lease liability is subsequently increased by the interest cost on the lease liability and decreased by lease payments made. It is remeasured when there is a change in future lease payments arising from a change in an index or rate, a change in the estimate of the amount expected to be payable under a residual value guarantee, or as appropriate, changes in the assessment of whether a purchase or extension option is reasonably certain to be exercised or a termination option is reasonably certain not to be exercised.



Cameco uses judgement in determining the lease term for some lease contracts that include renewal options. The assessment of whether the Company is reasonably certain to exercise such options impacts the lease term, which affects the amount of lease liabilities and right-of-use assets recognized.

The Company does not recognize right-of-use assets and lease liabilities for leases of low-value assets and short-term leases that have a lease term of less than 12 months or less. The lease payments associated with these leases are recognized as an expense on a straight-line basis over the lease term.

## ii. Income tax

IFRIC 23 provides guidance on the accounting for current and deferred tax liabilities and assets in circumstances in which there is uncertainty over income tax treatments. The adoption of the standard did not have a material impact on the financial statements.

## 4. Inventories

	Jun 30/19	Dec 31/18
<b>Uranium</b>		
Concentrate	\$ 461,892	\$ 255,373
Broken ore	44,875	51,545
	<b>506,767</b>	306,918
<b>NUKEM</b>	<b>99,469</b>	85,654
<b>Fuel services</b>	<b>94,090</b>	75,223
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 700,326</b>	\$ 467,795

Cameco expensed \$291,300,000 of inventory as cost of sales during the second quarter of 2019 (2018 - \$243,391,000). For the six months ended June 30, 2019, Cameco expensed \$520,100,000 of inventory as cost of sales (2018 - \$539,751,000). Included in cost of sales for the period ended June 30, 2018, is a \$30,082,000 write-down of NUKEM inventory to reflect net realizable value. No write-down was recorded in the current year.

## 5. Long-term receivables, investments and other

	Jun 30/19	Dec 31/18
Investments in equity securities [note 17] <sup>(a)</sup>	\$ 30,044	\$ 28,916
Derivatives [note 17]	15,668	3,881
Advances receivable from JV Inkai LLP [note 19]	54,999	124,533
Investment tax credits	95,474	95,246
Amounts receivable related to tax dispute [note 13]	303,222	303,222
Product loan <sup>(b)</sup>	176,904	176,904
Other	35,537	32,992
	<b>711,848</b>	765,694
Less current portion	<b>(18,398)</b>	(13,826)
<b>Net</b>	<b>\$ 693,450</b>	\$ 751,868

(a) Cameco has designated the investments shown below as equity securities at FVOCI because these equity securities represent investments that the Company intends to hold for the long term for strategic purposes. There were no dividends recognized on any of these investments during the year.

	Jun 30/19	Dec 31/18
Investment in Denison Mines Corp.	\$ 17,231	\$ 15,507
Investment in UEX Corporation	8,503	8,754
Investment in Iso Energy Ltd.	1,814	1,777
Investment in GoviEx	1,938	2,313
Other	558	565
	<b>\$ 30,044</b>	<b>\$ 28,916</b>

(b) Cameco loaned 5,400,000 pounds of uranium concentrate to its joint venture partner, Orano Canada Inc., (Orano). Orano is obligated to repay us in kind with uranium concentrate no later than December 31, 2023. The loan is recorded at Cameco's weighted average cost of inventory.

## 6. Equity-accounted investee

JV Inkai is the operator of the Inkai uranium deposit located in Kazakhstan. JV Inkai is a uranium mining and milling operation that utilizes in-situ recovery (ISR) technology to extract uranium. The participants in JV Inkai purchase uranium from Inkai and, in turn, derive revenue directly from the sale of such product to third-party customers (see note 19). Cameco holds a 40% interest in JV Inkai and Kazatomprom holds a 60% interest. Cameco does not have control over the joint venture so it accounts for the investment on an equity basis.

The following tables summarize the financial information of JV Inkai (100%):

	Jun 30/19	Dec 31/18
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 44,838	\$ 41,717
Other current assets	119,685	160,784
Non-current assets	396,761	407,816
Current liabilities	(72,684)	(151,728)
Non-current liabilities	(41,346)	(41,746)
<b>Net assets</b>	<b>\$ 447,254</b>	<b>\$ 416,843</b>

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	Jun 30/19	Jun 30/18	Jun 30/19	Jun 30/18
Revenue from products and services	\$ 64,070	\$ 48,487	\$ 94,389	\$ 55,216
Cost of products and services sold	(13,630)	(17,105)	(19,581)	(19,865)
Depreciation and amortization	(7,017)	(9,154)	(10,112)	(10,539)
Finance income	228	31	325	72
Finance costs	(544)	(1,741)	(2,173)	(3,081)
Other expense	(4,888)	(1,255)	(6,360)	(2,792)
Income tax expense	(7,986)	(12,241)	(11,665)	(8,870)
<b>Net earnings from continuing operations</b>	<b>\$ 30,233</b>	<b>\$ 7,022</b>	<b>\$ 44,823</b>	<b>\$ 10,141</b>
Other comprehensive loss	-	-	(772)	-
<b>Total comprehensive income</b>	<b>\$ 30,233</b>	<b>\$ 7,022</b>	<b>\$ 44,051</b>	<b>\$ 10,141</b>

The following table reconciles the summarized financial information to the carrying amount of Cameco's interest in JV Inkai:

	Jun 30/19	Dec 31/18
Opening net assets	\$ 416,843	\$ 374,650
Total comprehensive income	44,051	66,313
Impact of foreign exchange	(13,640)	(24,120)
<b>Closing net assets</b>	<b>447,254</b>	416,843
Cameco's share of net assets	178,902	166,737
Consolidating adjustments <sup>(a)</sup>	(23,193)	(33,978)
Fair value increment <sup>(b)</sup>	93,561	94,633
Impact of foreign exchange	686	3,110
<b>Carrying amount in the statement of financial position at June 30, 2019</b>	<b>\$ 249,956</b>	<b>\$ 230,502</b>

(a) Cameco records certain consolidating adjustments to eliminate unrealized profit and amortize historical differences in accounting policies. This amount is amortized to earnings over units of production.

(b) Upon restructuring, Cameco assigned fair values to the assets and liabilities of JV Inkai. This increment is amortized to earnings over units of production.

## 7. Other liabilities

	Jun 30/19	Dec 31/18
Deferred sales	\$ 38,505	\$ 30,727
Derivatives [note 17]	31,099	61,387
Accrued pension and post-retirement benefit liability	69,860	68,255
Lease obligation	12,992	-
Other	67,743	61,265
	<b>220,199</b>	221,634
Less current portion	(69,647)	(79,573)
<b>Net</b>	<b>\$ 150,552</b>	<b>\$ 142,061</b>

## 8. Provisions

	Reclamation	Waste disposal	Total
Beginning of year	\$ 1,053,892	\$ 9,460	\$ 1,063,352
Changes in estimates and discount rates			
Capitalized in property, plant, and equipment	141,385	-	141,385
Recognized in earnings	25,563	253	25,816
Provisions used during the period	(13,529)	(18)	(13,547)
Unwinding of discount	11,977	91	12,068
Impact of foreign exchange	(13,624)	-	(13,624)
<b>End of period</b>	<b>\$ 1,205,664</b>	<b>\$ 9,786</b>	<b>\$ 1,215,450</b>
Current	51,786	1,813	53,599
Non-current	1,153,878	7,973	1,161,851
	<b>\$ 1,205,664</b>	<b>\$ 9,786</b>	<b>\$ 1,215,450</b>

## 9. Share capital

At June 30, 2019, there were 395,792,732 common shares outstanding. Options in respect of 8,781,807 shares are outstanding under the stock option plan and are exercisable up to 2027. For the quarter ended June 30, 2019, there were no options that were exercised resulting in the issuance of shares (2018 - nil). For the six months ended June 30, 2019, there were 5,000 options exercised that resulted in the issuance of shares (2018 - nil).

## 10. Revenue

Cameco's uranium and fuel services sales contracts with customers contain both fixed and market-related pricing. Fixed-price contracts are typically based on a term-price indicator at the time the contract is accepted and escalated over the term of the contract. Market-related contracts are based on either the spot price or long-term price, and the price is quoted at the time of delivery rather than at the time the contract is accepted. These contracts often include a floor and/or ceiling prices, which are usually escalated over the term of the contract. Escalation is generally based on a consumer price index. The Company's contracts contain either one of these pricing mechanisms or a combination of the two. There is no variable consideration in the contracts and therefore no revenue is considered constrained at the time of delivery. Cameco expenses the incremental costs of obtaining a contract as incurred as the amortization period is less than a year.

The following tables summarize Cameco's sales disaggregated by geographical region and contract type and includes a reconciliation to Cameco's reportable segments (note 18):

### For the three months ended June 30, 2019

	Uranium	Fuel services	Other	Total
<b>Customer geographical region</b>				
Americas	\$ 103,587	\$ 51,699	\$ 2,667	\$ 157,953
Europe	91,802	27,182	3,587	122,571
Asia	97,745	1,525	7,975	107,245
	<b>\$ 293,134</b>	<b>\$ 80,406</b>	<b>\$ 14,229</b>	<b>\$ 387,769</b>
<b>Contract type</b>				
Fixed-price	\$ 42,353	\$ 73,981	\$ 8,313	\$ 124,647
Market-related	250,781	6,425	5,916	263,122
	<b>\$ 293,134</b>	<b>\$ 80,406</b>	<b>\$ 14,229</b>	<b>\$ 387,769</b>

### For the three months ended June 30, 2018

	Uranium	Fuel services	Other	Total
<b>Customer geographical region</b>				
Americas	\$ 154,847	\$ 48,364	\$ 27,686	\$ 230,897
Europe	62,698	16,976	22	79,696
Asia	19,737	2,960	-	22,697
	<b>\$ 237,282</b>	<b>\$ 68,300</b>	<b>\$ 27,708</b>	<b>\$ 333,290</b>
<b>Contract type</b>				
Fixed-price	\$ 60,951	\$ 62,768	\$ 27,708	\$ 151,427
Market-related	176,331	5,532	-	181,863
	<b>\$ 237,282</b>	<b>\$ 68,300</b>	<b>\$ 27,708</b>	<b>\$ 333,290</b>

For the six months ended June 30, 2019

	Uranium	Fuel services	Other	Total
<b>Customer geographical region</b>				
Americas	\$ 264,898	\$ 107,845	\$ 2,667	\$ 375,410
Europe	126,456	50,326	3,587	180,369
Asia	108,753	4,818	15,952	129,523
	<b>\$ 500,107</b>	<b>\$ 162,989</b>	<b>\$ 22,206</b>	<b>\$ 685,302</b>
<b>Contract type</b>				
Fixed-price	\$ 106,828	\$ 156,564	\$ 13,070	\$ 276,462
Market-related	393,279	6,425	9,136	408,840
	<b>\$ 500,107</b>	<b>\$ 162,989</b>	<b>\$ 22,206</b>	<b>\$ 685,302</b>

For the six months ended June 30, 2018

	Uranium	Fuel services	Other	Total
<b>Customer geographical region</b>				
Americas	\$ 284,934	\$ 94,854	\$ 32,977	\$ 412,765
Europe	97,335	22,922	10,694	130,951
Asia	214,006	14,913	48	228,967
	<b>\$ 596,275</b>	<b>\$ 132,689</b>	<b>\$ 43,719</b>	<b>\$ 772,683</b>
<b>Contract type</b>				
Fixed-price	\$ 234,033	\$ 126,952	\$ 43,719	\$ 404,704
Market-related	362,242	5,737	-	367,979
	<b>\$ 596,275</b>	<b>\$ 132,689</b>	<b>\$ 43,719</b>	<b>\$ 772,683</b>

11. Finance costs

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	Jun 30/19	Jun 30/18	Jun 30/19	Jun 30/18
Interest on long-term debt	\$ 17,903	\$ 18,278	\$ 36,953	\$ 36,667
Unwinding of discount on provisions	5,712	5,720	12,068	11,131
Other charges	3,669	3,447	7,168	7,340
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 27,284</b>	<b>\$ 27,445</b>	<b>\$ 56,189</b>	<b>\$ 55,138</b>

12. Other income (expense)

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	Jun 30/19	Jun 30/18	Jun 30/19	Jun 30/18
Foreign exchange gains (losses)	\$ (12,286)	\$ 7,083	\$ (14,048)	\$ 20,096
Gain on restructuring of JV Inkaï <sup>(a)</sup>	-	-	-	48,570
Sale of exploration interests	-	375	-	7,797
Contract restructuring	-	-	-	6,201
Other	-	102	-	101
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ (12,286)</b>	<b>\$ 7,560</b>	<b>\$ (14,048)</b>	<b>\$ 82,765</b>

(a) Effective January 1, 2018, Cameco's ownership interest in JV Inkai was reduced from 60% to 40% based on an implementation agreement with Kazatomprom. Cameco recognized a gain on the change in ownership interests of \$48,570,000. Included in this gain is \$5,450,000 which has been reclassified from the foreign currency translation reserve to net earnings.

### 13. Income taxes

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	Jun 30/19	Jun 30/18	Jun 30/19	Jun 30/18
Earnings (loss) before income taxes				
Canada	\$ 2,611	\$ (58,179)	\$ 19,889	\$ (74,380)
Foreign	(23,513)	(29,955)	(58,640)	33,702
	\$ (20,902)	\$ (88,134)	\$ (38,751)	\$ (40,678)
Current income taxes (recovery)				
Canada	\$ 2,001	\$ 843	\$ 4,432	\$ 4,388
Foreign	378	(812)	1,760	4,699
	\$ 2,379	\$ 31	\$ 6,192	\$ 9,087
Deferred income taxes (recovery)				
Canada	\$ (1,315)	\$ (14,906)	\$ 625	\$ (30,036)
Foreign	633	3,243	(4,652)	1,983
	\$ (682)	\$ (11,663)	\$ (4,027)	\$ (28,053)
<b>Income tax expense (recovery)</b>	<b>\$ 1,697</b>	<b>\$ (11,632)</b>	<b>\$ 2,165</b>	<b>\$ (18,966)</b>

Cameco has recorded \$1,009,743,000 of deferred tax assets (December 31, 2018 - \$1,006,012,000). The realization of these deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income in certain jurisdictions during the periods in which the Company's temporary tax differences are available. The Company considers whether it is probable that all or a portion of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. In making this assessment, management considers all available evidence, including recent financial operations, projected future taxable income and tax planning strategies. Based on projections of future taxable income over the periods in which the deferred tax assets are available, realization of these deferred tax assets is probable and consequently the deferred tax assets have been recorded.

#### Canada

In 2008, as part of the ongoing annual audits of Cameco's Canadian tax returns, Canada Revenue Agency (CRA) disputed the transfer pricing structure and methodology used by Cameco and its wholly owned Swiss subsidiary, Cameco Europe Ltd., in respect of sale and purchase agreements for uranium products. From December 2008 to date, CRA issued notices of reassessment for the taxation years 2003 through 2012, which in aggregate have increased Cameco's income for Canadian tax purposes by approximately \$4,900,000,000. CRA has also issued notices of reassessment for transfer pricing penalties for the years 2007 through 2011 in the amount of \$371,000,000. It is uncertain whether CRA will reassess Cameco's tax returns for subsequent years on a similar basis and if these will require Cameco to make future remittances or provide security on receipt of the reassessments.

On September 26, 2018, the Tax Court of Canada (Tax Court) ruled in our favour in our case with the Canada Revenue Agency (CRA) for the 2003, 2005 and 2006 tax years.

The Tax Court ruled that our marketing and trading structure involving foreign subsidiaries and the related transfer pricing methodology used for certain intercompany uranium purchase and sale agreements were in full compliance with Canadian laws for the three tax years in question. While the decision applies only to the first three tax years under dispute, we believe there is nothing in the decision that would warrant a materially different outcome for subsequent tax years. We expect to recover any amounts remitted or secured as a result of the reassessments.

On October 25, 2018, CRA filed a notice of appeal with the Federal Court of Appeal. We anticipate that it will take about two years to receive a decision from the Federal Court of Appeal.

We expect the Tax Court's decision to be upheld on appeal. We expect any further actions regarding the tax years 2007 through 2012 will be suspended until the three years covered in the decision are finally resolved. Despite the fact that we believe there is no basis to do so, and it is not our view of the likely outcome, CRA may continue to reassess us using the methodology it reassessed the 2003 through 2012 tax years with. In that scenario, and including the \$4,900,000,000 already reassessed, we expect to receive notices of reassessment for a total of approximately \$8,700,000,000 for the years 2003 through 2018, which would increase Cameco's income for Canadian tax purposes and result in a related tax expense of approximately \$2,600,000,000. In addition to penalties already imposed, CRA may continue to apply penalties to taxation years subsequent to 2011. As a result, we estimate that cash taxes and transfer pricing penalties would be between \$1,950,000,000 and \$2,150,000,000. In addition, we estimate there would be interest and instalment penalties applied that would be material to Cameco. While in dispute, we would be responsible for remitting or otherwise securing 50% of the cash taxes and transfer pricing penalties (between \$970,000,000 and \$1,070,000,000), plus related interest and instalment penalties assessed, which would be material to Cameco.

Under Canadian federal and provincial tax rules, the amount required to be remitted each year will depend on the amount of income reassessed in that year and the availability of elective deductions. CRA disallowed the use of any loss carry-backs to be applied to any transfer pricing adjustment, starting with the 2008 tax year. In light of our view of the likely outcome of the case, we expect to recover the amounts remitted to CRA, including cash taxes, interest and penalties totalling \$303,222,000 already paid as at June 30, 2019 (December 31, 2018 - \$303,222,000) (note 5). In addition to the cash remitted, we have provided \$480,000,000 in letters of credit to secure 50% of the cash taxes and related interest.

Management believes that the ultimate resolution will not be material to Cameco's financial position, results of operations or liquidity in the year(s) of resolution. Resolution of this matter as stipulated by CRA would be material to Cameco's financial position, results of operations or liquidity in the year(s) of resolution and other unfavourable outcomes for the years 2003 to date could be material to Cameco's financial position, results of operations and cash flows in the year(s) of resolution.

Further to Cameco's decision to contest CRA's reassessments, Cameco is pursuing its appeal rights under Canadian federal and provincial tax rules.

## 14. Per share amounts

Per share amounts have been calculated based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. The weighted average number of paid shares outstanding in 2019 was 395,795,605 (2018 - 395,792,732).

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	Jun 30/19	Jun 30/18	Jun 30/19	Jun 30/18
<b>Basic loss per share computation</b>				
Net loss attributable to equity holders	\$ (22,589)	\$ (76,481)	\$ (40,889)	\$ (21,674)
Weighted average common shares outstanding	395,798	395,793	395,796	395,793
<b>Basic loss per common share</b>	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.19)	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.05)
<b>Diluted loss per share computation</b>				
Net loss attributable to equity holders	\$ (22,589)	\$ (76,481)	\$ (40,889)	\$ (21,674)
Weighted average common shares outstanding	395,798	395,793	395,796	395,793
Dilutive effect of stock options	315	-	416	-
Weighted average common shares outstanding, assuming dilution	396,113	395,793	396,212	395,793
<b>Diluted loss per common share</b>	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.19)	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.05)

## 15. Statements of cash flows

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	Jun 30/19	Jun 30/18	Jun 30/19	Jun 30/18
Changes in non-cash working capital:				
Accounts receivable	\$ (65,895)	\$ (23,555)	\$ 193,417	\$ 169,099
Inventories	(57,991)	979	(208,142)	48,102
Supplies and prepaid expenses	(5,968)	6,597	(9,194)	21,825
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	2,804	16,502	(39,137)	(69,254)
Reclamation payments	(6,327)	(8,410)	(13,547)	(11,576)
Other	1,550	(5,211)	12,266	1,166
<b>Other operating items</b>	\$ (131,827)	\$ (13,098)	\$ (64,337)	\$ 159,362

## 16. Share-based compensation plans

### A. Stock option plan

The Company has established a stock option plan under which options to purchase common shares may be granted to employees of Cameco. Options granted under the stock option plan have an exercise price of not less than the closing price quoted on the Toronto Stock Exchange (TSX) for the common shares of Cameco on the trading day prior to the date on which the option is granted. The options carry vesting periods of one to three years, and expire eight years from the date granted.

The aggregate number of common shares that may be issued pursuant to the Cameco stock option plan shall not exceed 43,017,198 of which 27,875,289 shares have been issued.



## B. Executive performance share unit (PSU)

The Company has established a PSU plan whereby it provides each plan participant an annual grant of PSUs in an amount determined by the board. Each PSU represents one phantom common share that entitles the participant to a payment of one Cameco common share purchased on the open market, or cash with an equivalent market value, at the board's discretion, at the end of each three-year period if certain performance and vesting criteria have been met. The final value of the PSUs will be based on the value of Cameco common shares at the end of the three-year period and the number of PSUs that ultimately vest. During the vesting period, dividend equivalents accrue to the participants in the form of additional share units as of each normal cash dividend payment date of Cameco's common shares. Vesting of PSUs at the end of the three-year period will be based on total shareholder return over the three years, Cameco's ability to meet its annual operating targets and whether the participating executive remains employed by Cameco at the end of the three-year vesting period. As of June 30, 2019, the total number of PSUs held by the participants, after adjusting for forfeitures on retirement, was 1,458,603 (December 31, 2018 - 1,343,971).

## C. Restricted share unit (RSU)

The Company has established an RSU plan whereby it provides each plan participant an annual grant of RSUs in an amount determined by the board. Each RSU represents one phantom common share that entitles the participant to a payment of one Cameco common share purchased on the open market, or cash with an equivalent market value, at the board's discretion. The RSUs carry vesting periods of one to three years, and the final value of the units will be based on the value of Cameco common shares at the end of the vesting periods. During the vesting period, dividend equivalents accrue to the participants in the form of additional share units as of each normal cash dividend payment date of Cameco's common shares. As of June 30, 2019, the total number of RSUs held by the participants was 449,184 (December 31, 2018 - 456,704).

Cameco records compensation expense under its equity-settled plans with an offsetting credit to contributed surplus, to reflect the estimated fair value of units granted to employees. During the period, the Company recognized the following expenses under these plans:

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	Jun 30/19	Jun 30/18	Jun 30/19	Jun 30/18
Stock option plan	\$ 444	\$ 450	\$ 3,477	\$ 3,788
Performance share unit plan	1,699	1,881	3,494	4,038
Restricted share unit plan	606	747	1,243	1,564
	\$ 2,749	\$ 3,078	\$ 8,214	\$ 9,390

### Fair value measurement of equity-settled plans

The fair value of the units granted through the PSU plan was determined based on Monte Carlo simulation and the fair value of options granted under the stock option plan was measured based on the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The fair value of RSUs granted was determined based on their intrinsic value on the date of grant. Expected volatility was estimated by considering historic average share price volatility.

The inputs used in the measurement of the fair values at grant date of the equity-settled share-based payment plans were as follows:

	Stock option plan	PSU	RSU
Number of options granted	886,740	477,250	212,496
Average strike price	\$15.27	-	\$15.33
Expected dividend	\$0.08	-	-
Expected volatility	36%	38%	-
Risk-free interest rate	1.8%	1.8%	-
Expected life of option	4.9 years	3 years	-
Expected forfeitures	7%	12%	15%
Weighted average grant date fair values	\$4.92	\$15.33	\$15.33

In addition to these inputs, other features of the PSU grant were incorporated into the measurement of fair value. The market condition based on total shareholder return was incorporated by utilizing a Monte Carlo simulation. The non-market criteria relating to realized selling prices and operating targets have been incorporated into the valuation at grant date by reviewing prior history and corporate budgets.

## 17. Financial instruments and related risk management

### A. Accounting classifications

The following tables summarize the carrying amounts and accounting classifications of Cameco's financial instruments at the reporting date:

#### At June 30, 2019

	FVTPL	Amortized cost	FVOCI - designated	Total
<b>Financial assets</b>				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ -	\$ 662,571	\$ -	\$ 662,571
Short-term investments	-	450,616	-	450,616
Accounts receivable	-	195,716	-	195,716
Derivative assets [note 5]				
Foreign currency contracts	11,350	-	-	11,350
Interest rate contracts	4,318	-	-	4,318
Investments in equity securities [note 5]	-	-	30,044	30,044
Advances receivable from Inkai [note 19]	-	54,999	-	54,999
	<b>15,668</b>	<b>1,363,902</b>	<b>30,044</b>	<b>1,409,614</b>
<b>Financial liabilities</b>				
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	-	194,541	-	194,541
Current portion of long-term debt	-	499,900	-	499,900
Derivative liabilities [note 7]				
Foreign currency contracts	24,110	-	-	24,110
Interest rate contracts	59	-	-	59
Uranium contracts	6,930	-	-	6,930
Long-term debt	-	996,395	-	996,395
	<b>31,099</b>	<b>1,690,836</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>1,721,935</b>
<b>Net</b>	<b>(15,431)</b>	<b>(326,934)</b>	<b>30,044</b>	<b>(312,321)</b>

At December 31, 2018

	FVTPL	Amortized cost	FVOCI - designated	Total
<b>Financial assets</b>				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ -	\$ 711,528	\$ -	\$ 711,528
Short-term investments	-	391,025	-	391,025
Accounts receivable	-	402,350	-	402,350
Derivative assets [note 5]				
Foreign currency contracts	2,201	-	-	2,201
Interest rate contracts	1,680	-	-	1,680
Investments in equity securities [note 5]	-	-	28,916	28,916
Advances receivable from Inkai [note 19]	-	124,533	-	124,533
	<b>\$ 3,881</b>	<b>\$ 1,629,436</b>	<b>\$ 28,916</b>	<b>\$ 1,662,233</b>
<b>Financial liabilities</b>				
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ -	\$ 224,754	\$ -	\$ 224,754
Current portion of long-term debt	-	499,599	-	499,599
Derivative liabilities [note 7]				
Foreign currency contracts	54,866	-	-	54,866
Interest rate contracts	823	-	-	823
Uranium contracts	5,698	-	-	5,698
Long-term debt	-	996,072	-	996,072
	<b>61,387</b>	<b>1,720,425</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>1,781,812</b>
<b>Net</b>	<b>\$ (57,506)</b>	<b>\$ (90,989)</b>	<b>\$ 28,916</b>	<b>\$ (119,579)</b>

Cameco has pledged \$193,439,000 of cash as security against certain of its letter of credit facilities. This cash is being used as collateral for an interest rate reduction on the letter of credit facilities. The collateral account has a term of five years effective July 1, 2018. Cameco retains full access to this cash.

## B. Fair value hierarchy

The fair value of an asset or liability is generally estimated as the amount that would be received on sale of an asset, or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the reporting date. Fair values of assets and liabilities traded in an active market are determined by reference to last quoted prices, in the principal market for the asset or liability. In the absence of an active market for an asset or liability, fair values are determined based on market quotes for assets or liabilities with similar characteristics and risk profiles, or through other valuation techniques. Fair values determined using valuation techniques require the use of inputs, which are obtained from external, readily observable market data when available. In some circumstances, inputs that are not based on observable data must be used. In these cases, the estimated fair values may be adjusted in order to account for valuation uncertainty, or to reflect the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability.

All fair value measurements are categorized into one of three hierarchy levels, described below, for disclosure purposes. Each level is based on the transparency of the inputs used to measure the fair values of assets and liabilities:

Level 1 – Values based on unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the reporting date for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 – Values based on quoted prices in markets that are not active or model inputs that are observable either directly or indirectly for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3 – Values based on prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement.

When the inputs used to measure fair value fall within more than one level of the hierarchy, the level within which the fair value measurement is categorized is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

The following tables summarize the carrying amounts and fair values of Cameco's financial instruments that are measured at fair value, including their levels in the fair value hierarchy:

**As at June 30, 2019**

	Carrying value	Level 1	Level 2	Total
Derivative assets [note 5]				
Foreign currency contracts	\$ 11,350	\$ -	\$ 11,350	\$ 11,350
Interest rate contracts	4,318	-	4,318	4,318
Investments in equity securities [note 5]	30,044	30,044	-	30,044
Current portion of long-term debt	(499,900)	-	(502,908)	(502,908)
Derivative liabilities [note 7]				
Foreign currency contracts	(24,110)	-	(24,110)	(24,110)
Interest rate contracts	(59)	-	(59)	(59)
Uranium contracts	(6,930)	-	(6,930)	(6,930)
Long-term debt	(996,395)	-	(1,134,139)	(1,134,139)
<b>Net</b>	<b>\$ (1,481,682)</b>	<b>\$ 30,044</b>	<b>\$ (1,652,478)</b>	<b>\$ (1,622,434)</b>

**As at December 31, 2018**

	Carrying value	Level 1	Level 2	Total
Derivative assets [note 5]				
Foreign currency contracts	\$ 2,201	\$ -	\$ 2,201	\$ 2,201
Interest rate contracts	1,680	-	1,680	1,680
Investments in equity securities [note 5]	28,916	28,916	-	28,916
Current portion of long-term debt	(499,599)	-	(511,210)	(511,210)
Derivative liabilities [note 7]				
Foreign currency contracts	(54,866)	-	(54,866)	(54,866)
Interest rate contracts	(823)	-	(823)	(823)
Uranium contracts	(5,698)	-	(5,698)	(5,698)
Long-term debt	(996,072)	-	(1,111,782)	(1,111,782)
<b>Net</b>	<b>\$ (1,524,261)</b>	<b>\$ 28,916</b>	<b>\$ (1,680,498)</b>	<b>\$ (1,651,582)</b>

The preceding tables exclude fair value information for financial instruments whose carrying amounts are a reasonable approximation of fair value. The carrying value of Cameco's cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, accounts receivable, and accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximates its fair value as a result of the short-term nature of the instruments.

There were no transfers between level 1 and level 2 during the period. Cameco does not have any financial instruments that are classified as level 3 as of the reporting date.

### C. Financial instruments measured at fair value

Cameco measures its derivative financial instruments, material investments in equity securities, current portion of long-term debt and long-term debt at fair value. Investments in publicly held equity securities are classified as a recurring level 1 fair value measurement while derivative financial instruments and current and long-term debt are classified as recurring level 2 fair value measurements.

The fair value of investments in equity securities is determined using quoted share prices observed in the principal market for the securities as of the reporting date. The fair value of Cameco's current portion of long-term debt is determined using a quoted market yield of 1.7% as of the reporting date. The fair value of Cameco's long-term debt is determined using quoted market yields as of the reporting date, which ranged from 1.4% to 1.7% (2018 - 1.9% to 2.2%).

Foreign currency derivatives consist of foreign currency forward contracts, options and swaps. The fair value of foreign currency options is measured based on the Black Scholes option-pricing model. The fair value of foreign currency forward contracts and swaps is measured using a market approach, based on the difference between contracted foreign exchange rates and quoted forward exchange rates as of the reporting date.

Interest rate derivatives consist of interest rate swap contracts. The fair value of interest rate swaps is determined by discounting expected future cash flows from the contracts. The future cash flows are determined by measuring the difference between fixed interest payments to be received and floating interest payments to be made to the counterparty based on Canada Dealer Offer Rate forward interest rate curves.

Uranium contract derivatives consist of price swaps. The fair value of uranium price swaps is determined by discounting expected future cash flows from the contracts. The future cash flows are determined by measuring the difference between fixed purchases or sales under contracted prices, and floating purchases or sales based on Numerco forward uranium price curves.

Where applicable, the fair value of the derivatives reflects the credit risk of the instrument and includes adjustments to take into account the credit risk of the Company and counterparty. These adjustments are based on credit ratings and yield curves observed in active markets at the reporting date.

### D. Derivatives

The following table summarizes the fair value of derivatives and classification on the consolidated statements of financial position:

	Jun 30/19	Dec 31/18
Non-hedge derivatives:		
Foreign currency contracts	\$ (12,760)	\$ (52,665)
Interest rate contracts	4,259	857
Uranium contracts	(6,930)	(5,698)
<b>Net</b>	<b>\$ (15,431)</b>	<b>\$ (57,506)</b>
Classification:		
Current portion of long-term receivables, investments and other [note 5]	\$ 5,385	\$ 1,028
Long-term receivables, investments and other [note 5]	10,283	2,853
Current portion of other liabilities [note 7]	(15,391)	(35,534)
Other liabilities [note 7]	(15,708)	(25,853)
<b>Net</b>	<b>\$ (15,431)</b>	<b>\$ (57,506)</b>

The following table summarizes the different components of the gain (loss) on derivatives included in net earnings (loss):

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	Jun 30/19	Jun 30/18	Jun 30/19	Jun 30/18
Non-hedge derivatives				
Foreign currency contracts	\$ 15,575	\$ (25,974)	\$ 32,444	\$ (52,720)
Interest rate contracts	902	312	3,564	269
Uranium contracts	(165)	769	(1,477)	(227)
<b>Net</b>	<b>\$ 16,312</b>	<b>\$ (24,893)</b>	<b>\$ 34,531</b>	<b>\$ (52,678)</b>

## 18. Segmented information

Cameco has two reportable segments: uranium and fuel services. Cameco's reportable segments are strategic business units with different products, processes and marketing strategies. The uranium segment involves the exploration for, mining, milling, purchase and sale of uranium concentrate. The fuel services segment involves the refining, conversion and fabrication of uranium concentrate and the purchase and sale of conversion services.

Cost of sales in the uranium segment includes care and maintenance costs for our operations that have had production suspensions. Cameco expensed \$39,361,000 of care and maintenance costs during the second quarter of 2019 (2018 - \$46,619,000), including \$46,000 (2018 - \$872,000) of severance costs. For the six months ended June 30, 2019, Cameco expensed \$78,661,000 (2018 - \$95,490,000), including \$521,000 (2018 - \$2,153,000) of severance costs.

Accounting policies used in each segment are consistent with the policies outlined in the summary of significant accounting policies. Segment revenues, expenses and results include transactions between segments incurred in the ordinary course of business. These transactions are priced on an arm's length basis, are eliminated on consolidation and are reflected in the "other" column.

## Business segments

For the three months ended June 30, 2019

	Uranium	Fuel services	Other	Total
Revenue	\$ 293,134	\$ 80,406	\$ 14,229	\$ 387,769
Expenses				
Cost of products and services sold	225,136	50,858	9,918	285,912
Depreciation and amortization	44,634	10,088	5,032	59,754
<b>Cost of sales</b>	<b>269,770</b>	<b>60,946</b>	<b>14,950</b>	<b>345,666</b>
<b>Gross profit (loss)</b>	<b>23,364</b>	<b>19,460</b>	<b>(721)</b>	<b>42,103</b>
Administration	-	-	30,218	30,218
Exploration	3,442	-	-	3,442
Research and development	-	-	1,040	1,040
Other operating expense	23,792	-	-	23,792
Gain (loss) on disposal of assets	143	(32)	-	111
Finance costs	-	-	27,284	27,284
Gain on derivatives	-	-	(16,312)	(16,312)
Finance income	-	-	(6,515)	(6,515)
Share of earnings from equity-accounted investee	(12,341)	-	-	(12,341)
Other expense	-	-	12,286	12,286
<b>Earnings (loss) before income taxes</b>	<b>8,328</b>	<b>19,492</b>	<b>(48,722)</b>	<b>(20,902)</b>
Income tax expense				1,697
<b>Net loss</b>				<b>\$ (22,599)</b>

For the three months ended June 30, 2018

	Uranium	Fuel services	Other	Total
Revenue	\$ 237,282	\$ 68,300	\$ 27,708	\$ 333,290
Expenses				
Cost of products and services sold	156,419	43,721	37,235	237,375
Depreciation and amortization	60,819	7,143	1,891	69,853
<b>Cost of sales</b>	<b>217,238</b>	<b>50,864</b>	<b>39,126</b>	<b>307,228</b>
<b>Gross profit (loss)</b>	<b>20,044</b>	<b>17,436</b>	<b>(11,418)</b>	<b>26,062</b>
Administration	-	-	31,417	31,417
Exploration	4,078	-	-	4,078
Research and development	-	-	(2,228)	(2,228)
Other operating expense	44,019	-	-	44,019
Loss on disposal of assets	329	183	21	533
Finance costs	-	-	27,445	27,445
Loss on derivatives	-	-	24,893	24,893
Finance income	-	-	(4,993)	(4,993)
Share of earnings from equity-accounted investee	(3,408)	-	-	(3,408)
Other income	(477)	-	(7,083)	(7,560)
<b>Earnings (loss) before income taxes</b>	<b>(24,497)</b>	<b>17,253</b>	<b>(80,890)</b>	<b>(88,134)</b>
Income tax recovery				(11,632)
<b>Net loss</b>				<b>\$ (76,502)</b>

**For the six months ended June 30, 2019**

	Uranium	Fuel services	Other	Total
Revenue	\$ 500,107	\$ 162,989	\$ 22,206	\$ 685,302
Expenses				
Cost of products and services sold	406,339	103,186	16,139	525,664
Depreciation and amortization	73,497	20,013	6,825	100,335
<b>Cost of sales</b>	<b>479,836</b>	<b>123,199</b>	<b>22,964</b>	<b>625,999</b>
<b>Gross profit (loss)</b>	<b>20,271</b>	<b>39,790</b>	<b>(758)</b>	<b>59,303</b>
Administration	-	-	66,040	66,040
Exploration	7,866	-	-	7,866
Research and development	-	-	2,722	2,722
Other operating expense	25,563	-	-	25,563
Gain on disposal of assets	(199)	-	-	(199)
Finance costs	-	-	56,189	56,189
Gain on derivatives	-	-	(34,531)	(34,531)
Finance income	-	-	(15,112)	(15,112)
Share of earnings from equity-accounted investee	(24,532)	-	-	(24,532)
Other expense	-	-	14,048	14,048
<b>Earnings (loss) before income taxes</b>	<b>11,573</b>	<b>39,790</b>	<b>(90,114)</b>	<b>(38,751)</b>
Income tax expense				2,165
<b>Net loss</b>				<b>\$ (40,916)</b>

**For the six months ended June 30, 2018**

	Uranium	Fuel services	Other	Total
Revenue	\$ 596,275	\$ 132,689	\$ 43,719	\$ 772,683
Expenses				
Cost of products and services sold	388,089	88,220	70,846	547,155
Depreciation and amortization	110,454	14,814	5,911	131,179
<b>Cost of sales</b>	<b>498,543</b>	<b>103,034</b>	<b>76,757</b>	<b>678,334</b>
<b>Gross profit (loss)</b>	<b>97,732</b>	<b>29,655</b>	<b>(33,038)</b>	<b>94,349</b>
Administration	-	-	66,362	66,362
Exploration	12,545	-	-	12,545
Research and development	-	-	(1,039)	(1,039)
Other operating expense	44,939	-	-	44,939
Loss on disposal of assets	429	217	21	667
Finance costs	-	-	55,138	55,138
Loss on derivatives	-	-	52,678	52,678
Finance income	-	-	(9,009)	(9,009)
Share of earnings from equity-accounted investee	(4,489)	-	-	(4,489)
Other income	(62,669)	-	(20,096)	(82,765)
<b>Earnings (loss) before income taxes</b>	<b>106,977</b>	<b>29,438</b>	<b>(177,093)</b>	<b>(40,678)</b>
Income tax recovery				(18,966)
<b>Net loss</b>				<b>\$ (21,712)</b>



## 19. Related parties

Cameco funded JV Inkai's project development costs through an unsecured shareholder loan. The limit of the loan facility is \$175,000,000 (US) and advances under the facility bear interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 2%. At June 30, 2019, \$54,999,000 (\$42,000,000 (US)) of principal was outstanding (December 31, 2018 - \$124,533,000 (\$91,320,000 (US))) (note 5). For the quarter ended June 30, 2019, Cameco recorded interest income of \$645,000 relating to this balance (2018 - \$1,505,000). For the six month period ended June 30, 2019, interest income was \$1,647,000 (2018 - \$2,776,000).

Cameco purchases uranium concentrate from JV Inkai. For the quarter ended June 30, 2019, Cameco had purchases from JV Inkai of \$29,353,000 (\$21,877,000 (US)) (2018 - \$23,561,000 (\$18,371,000 (US))). For the six month period ended June 30, 2019, purchases were \$46,566,000 (\$34,674,000 (US)) (2018 - \$23,962,000 (\$18,685,000 (US))).

## 20. Subsequent event

On July 13, 2019, Cameco received an award from the tribunal of international arbitrators (Tribunal) with respect to its contract dispute with Tokyo Electric Power Company Holdings, Inc. (TEPCO). The Tribunal rejected TEPCO's assertion that it had the right to terminate its uranium supply agreement and awarded damages of \$40,300,000 (US). Damages were based on the Tribunal's interpretation of losses under this supply agreement. In addition, Cameco is entitled to costs, expenses and pre-award interest that we calculate to be approximately \$5,000,000 (US). We expect to record these amounts in our third quarter financial results.